

<b>Item No.</b> 18.	<b>Classification:</b> Open	<b>Date:</b> 10 February 2020	<b>Meeting Name:</b> Audit, Governance and Standards Committee
<b>Report title:</b>		Appointment of non-voting co-opted members of the civic awards sub-committee for 2019-20	
<b>Ward(s) or groups affected:</b>		All	
<b>From:</b>		Strategic Director of Housing and Modernisation	

## RECOMMENDATIONS

1. That the committee considers the nominations for the positions of co-opted members of the audit, governance and standards (civic awards) sub-committee outlined in closed Appendix 1 and agree the nominations.

## BACKGROUND INFORMATION

2. The Southwark civic awards scheme was initiated in 1997 for the purposes of recognising exceptional contributions to community life by individuals and organisations in the borough. Up until 2015, the scheme was administered on behalf of the council by the Southwark Civic Association which made recommendations to standards committee for the granting of civic awards.
3. Council assembly on 8 July 2015 resolved that from the 2015/2016 civic year, the administration of the civic awards be carried out by the council pending a longer term review of the operation of the awards scheme. Officers were requested to put in place the necessary arrangements for the running of the awards within existing council resources. Council assembly in 2016 also resolved that the decisions on the granting of civic awards be delegated to a sub-committee of the audit, governance and standards committee.
4. The audit, governance and standards committee agreed at its meeting of 14 July 2016 that the membership of the audit, governance and standards (civic awards) sub-committee (henceforth "civic awards sub-committee") must include four co-opted members, two of whom must be women.
5. It is necessary for the audit, governance and standards committee to appoint these co-opted members formally; and the names of the volunteers will be presented in the closed report.

## KEY ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION

6. The granting of awards is a constitutional function of the audit, governance and standards (civic awards) sub-committee and members have the final discretion whether or not to grant an award. Members also have a particular responsibility to safeguard the non-political nature of the awards scheme.
7. Members need to be satisfied that the co-opted membership nominations meet the appropriate criteria.

## Resource implications

8. There are none.

## Community impact statement

9. The council is committed to promoting civic engagement and good relations in our communities. The awards attract media interest and recognise the voluntary work of a number of people and organisations within Southwark, thus strengthening community cohesion.

## BACKGROUND DOCUMENTS

Background Papers	Held At	Contact
Southwark Constitution	Constitutional Team 2nd floor, 160 Tooley Street London, SE1 2QH <a href="http://moderngov.southwark.gov.uk/ie/ListMeetings.aspx?Committeed=425">http://moderngov.southwark.gov.uk/ie/ListMeetings.aspx?Committeed=425</a>	Virginia Wynn-Jones 020 7525 7055

## APPENDICES

Appendix	Title
1	Nominees

## AUDIT TRAIL

<b>Lead Officer</b>	Stephen Douglass, Director of Communities	
<b>Report Author</b>	Virginia Wynn-Jones, Principal Constitutional Officer	
<b>Version</b>	Final	
<b>Dated</b>	13 January 2020	
<b>Key Decision?</b>	No	
<b>CONSULTATION WITH OTHER OFFICERS / DIRECTORATES / CABINET MEMBER</b>		
<b>Officer Title</b>	<b>Comments Sought</b>	<b>Comments Included</b>
Director of Law and Democracy	No	No
Strategic Director of Finance and Governance	No	No
<b>Cabinet Member</b>	No	No
<b>Date final report sent to Constitutional Team</b>	13 January 2020	