

Additional Comments breakdown – Controlled Parking Zone

Comment	Number
Positive	24
Negative	23
Parking problem	21
No parking problem	19
Cost of permits	19
Money making exercise	16
Permits should be free	9
Design comment	7
Creation of a parking problem	7
Problem for visitors	7
No enough parking, CPZ won't solve the problem	5
Vans and business vehicles	3
Stop people reserving spaces	3
Benefits incl. positives for cycling, air quality, and reduction in traffic volumes.	2
Number of spaces should be reduced in general to allow for bigger pavements and bike storage.	2
Visibility affected	2
Reduce length of DYLS	2
Problem is from parents picking up children	2
Should be one permit per household	2
More cycle hangars	2
Increase enforcement	2

Didn't receive the consultation	2
Will affect smaller businesses	1
Abandoned vehicles	1
Exemption for service providers i.e. heating engineers	1
Parking problem on estates	1
Improve bus connections	1
Housing developments will worsen the problem	1
Live just outside the area, concern about parking displacement	1
Anti-car agenda	1
More spaces on estates needed	1
Displacement onto estates	1
Have the same permits as EC zone	1
Problem with the 'other' please specify section of the form	1
Lack of info	1
Decrease air quality	1
Put in a gate	1
Don't remove the Car Club bay	1
Split the zone up into smaller parts	1
Blue Badge permits should be free	1
People that don't own cars should be encouraged to respond	1

Additional comments on operating hours

Operating hours comment	Number
Monday to Saturday	4
Part day PZ	2
24/7	2
8am-10am and then 4pm – 6pm	2
Monday to Sunday	1
6am to 5pm	1
8am to 8pm	1
Two hour PZ	1
8.30 to 6.30	1
6pm to 6am	1
8.30 – 5.30	1
0 hours	1
7am to 8pm	1
7am to 9pm	1

Additional Comments breakdown – Wells Way junction with St George's Way

Comment	Number
Against	29
Ensure access for cargo bikes and adapted cycles	22
Support	21
Tight turn into Burgess Park	11
Scheme is not needed and will not be used by cyclists	8
Concern that northbound cyclists will have to swing out into the carriageway	7
Cyclists should be directed to use the park	5
Motorists use these roads every day and not increasing traffic for motorists is a priority.	4
Air quality is the main problem in the area and this will cause more traffic on Wells Way	4
Support for signals	3
More time required at traffic lights	3
Turning right southbound from St George's Way into Burgess Park is difficult. Lights don't give enough time.	2
Will prevent local drivers accessing Old Kent Road.	2
Add more cycle and pedestrian provision	2
Good for pedestrians	1
Move away from the corner to avoid accidents	1
Parkhouse street with Wells Way is also a difficult junction for pedestrians	1

There should be a separate right hand turn for cars	1
Can't cyclists just use the underpass	1
Improve right turn into the park from the south	1
Make general pedestrian improvements	1
It will cause more traffic	1
There should be traffic islands on Wells Way to protect cyclists	1
There should be a raised table	1
More traffic calming on Wells Way	1
The whole junction needs widening	1
St George's Way is an important road and motorists should be taken into account.	1
Put an advanced cycle phase on the traffic lights	1
Align the crossings with desire lines	1
Provide a separate lane to protect vulnerable cyclists	1
Don't need any more cycle priorities	1
Are the additional cycle lane entry points necessary? Suggest cycle priority.	1
Extended double yellow lines are likely to be ignored by motorists	1
Access to Angel Oak Academy	1
Cyclists avoid the park when it's dark and use Wells Way and Albany Road	1
St George's Way is too narrow, would rather have parked cars than cycle lanes	1
Enforce the bike boxes	1

Those with cars will find it harder to get home	1
Could we remove the island on the north side to allow a mandatory cycle lane feeder	1
No need to increase footpath as the road is small and not many people need the paths	1
Concern about the impact of traffic down Chandler Way and turning into Blakes Road	1
Not about pedestrians, only cyclists	1
Introduce a segregated cycle lane on Wells Way	1
No use for residents	1

St George's Way junction with Chandler Way

Comment	Number
Traffic displacement	29
Support	28
Inconvenient for residents	19
Will cause congestion	11
Against	10
What about traffic around Angel Oak Academy and Burcher Gale Grove	10
Reduce through traffic	9
Can't cyclists just use the park	7
Area already has a lot of closed sections	7
Increase safety for cyclists	4
Better air quality, quieter streets, less traffic	3
Provides a viable safe alternative to Burgess Park	3
Won't solve air quality issue	3
Don't remove the barrier from Chandler Way	2
Install a zebra crossing at the east of junction with Chandler Way	2
The closure will encourage walking and cycling	1
Could you not just put in a width restriction	1
Could you light the park as well	1
Make it one side parking only	1
Build out Chandler Way to protect cyclists turning right onto St George's Way.	1
Southbound traffic on Chandler Way likely to	1

cut the corner	
Ensure that we measure pollution levels, traffic flow and noise for the displacement	1
Little to prevent a driver from mounting the kerb to get around the restrictions	1
Make Pentridge Street exit only	1
Increase in cycle traffic will put children, elderly and residents in danger	1
Cycle routes put pedestrians in danger	1
Changes should be made using temporary measures	1
Will create more through traffic on Chandler Way	1
Motorists should be prioritised	1
Use the space for trees etc	1
Add a direct route north to south through the park	1
Unsure what the outcome would be	1
Make sure you sign the route properly	1

Additional Comments breakdown – Commercial Way Cycle Track

Comment	Number
Make Pentridge Street exit only	19
Positive	16
Not enough space for cars at the moment	13
Unnecessary, it's safe enough already	8
Cycle track needs to allow cyclists to merge with other traffic	7
This will create traffic problems	6
Against	5
Prioritising cyclists over motorists	4
Is the track wide enough for adapted cycles	4
Cyclists may not use it which would cause confusion	3
Quality of road surface should be improved	3
Could you align the track with Kelly Avenue	3
Track doesn't work for those travelling West	2
Would be difficult for cyclists not travelling between Chandler and Moody	2
More speed humps	2
Cyclists cause problems for pedestrians	2
The Pentridge street crossing could be made tighter to ensure slower turning	2
Pedestrians will walk in cycle path	2
People park inappropriately	2
Make merging better for the Chandler Way junction	2
Concern about parking displacement	2

Can't cyclists use Surrey Canal path or Burgess Park	2
Remove speed humps	1
The Moody Road junction could be made safer with bollards and double yellow lines	1
There should be some traffic calming	1
Displacement of traffic from St George's Way closure would cause traffic problems	1
Commercial Way should be widened	1
Penalising people for having cars	1
Cyclists won't stop at crossings	1
More pedestrian crossings on Commercial Way	1
Will traffic stop for crossing cyclists?	1
Need to reduce traffic in the area to encourage walking and cycling	1
Increase traffic thus increase pollution	1
Two-way track along the whole route	1
Enforce the 20mph speed limit	1
Make the track unsegregated	1
Could add greenery	1

Additional Comments breakdown – Commercial Way Crossing

Comment	Number
Moody Rd needs double yellow lines or bollards to stop motorists blocking the cycle track	26
Positive	12
Unnecessary	7
There is already a zebra crossing so traffic will build up	5
Negative	5
Crossing should be signalised	4
Route could continue to the junction with Kelly Ave	3
Clear signage so drivers know to give way to bicycles	2
Not busy enough to justify this intervention	2
Put give way markings to give cyclists priority going through Bamber Road	2
Remove speed cushions on the dead-end section of Moody Road	2
Will cause pollution	1
Concern about the narrow footway in a busy area	1
It's difficult to cross for pedestrians so good idea	1
Why would you open up a quiet road, risk for children	1
Street is already too narrow	1
Introduce traffic calming measures	1
Crossing and any bollards should be wide	1

enough for adapted cycles	
Make sure there is kerb free access	1
Concern over parking displacement	1
Not enough info	1
Not safe for pedestrians	1
Why would you remove bollards or guardrails	1

Additional Comments breakdown – Kelly Avenue Junction

Comment	Number
Specific cycle signals e.g. early release, request crossing, segregation	53
Signals should prioritise cycling and walking	9
Negative	7
Car should be stopped parking against the barrier	4
Zebra crossings for pedestrians	4
Should have parking controls	4
Should be a yellow box junction	3
Positive	3
Cycle lanes	3
Keep Kelly Avenue closed	2
There needs to be a protected turning island for cyclists coming eastbound on Peckham Road	2
More crossing points	2
Motorists should be prioritised	2
The junction should be landscaped	2
Prioritise pedestrians over cyclists	2
There should be room for adapted cycles	1
Traffic reduced on Lyndhurst Way	1
Signage letting all road users what to expect	1
Reduce the width of Peckham Road	1
Ban one or both turns out of Lyndhurst Grove	1
Resurface the road	1

Pedestrians should be allowed to cross the road diagonally	1
Focus on increasing parking	1
Educate the public on looking out for cyclists	1
Decrease the road width	1
Don't decrease the road space for motorists	1
Traffic lights work well, there is no problem	1
Improve the junction of Southampton way and Peckham Road	1
Light the barrier at Kelly Avenue	1
Don't give cyclists a specific cycle phase	1
Make the Spine more direct	1