

Appendix 2

TRSIG 2018 -19 Non funding recommendations

Ref No.	TRA / TMO	Area Housing Forum	Title of proposal	Amount applied for £	Reasons for not funding
5	Pullens	Walworth West	Know your rights and how to express them	10,000	Similar project funded in 2014-15 had low take up. It was considered that this application was more about campaigning for the rights of unemployed people than supporting people into employment or volunteering and therefore did not meet the programme priorities.
6	n/a - SHU D'Eynsford	Camberwell East	D'Eynsford SHU communal garden final completion project	3,500	100% for gardening equipment and materials therefore not eligible.
9	Tabard Gardens South; 1 of 2	Borough & Bankside	Tabard Community Allotments - Community workshops	1,600	A large proportion of the costs of this application (46%) were on materials / equipment. The Panel recommended funding a second application submitted by this TRA for film nights.
11	Kingswood (KETRA)	Dulwich	Up Skill the Community	10,000	Application did not clearly state what the project is and the budget did not add up correctly
13	Brimtonroy	Nunhead & Peckham	After School Club	9,600	Panel agreed not to fund owing to concerns about service provider's suitability for teaching 5-11 year olds. Costings were very basic and there was no mention of DBS checks for staff or the venue(s) for this Club. It was also noted that the proposed service provider is a college teaching over 18s only, so questions were raised over staff suitability to teach 5-11 year olds Key Stage 1+2 subjects. Also unclear how the relationship between the college and TRA /parents would work.
14	Doddington Grove TRA	Walworth West	Doddington Grove Community Animation Workshop	1,150	It was noted that this TRA had not held an AGM in over a year and therefore could not be considered active and eligible for funding.
19	Gloucester Grove TMO	Nunhead & Peckham	Football After School Club	6,056	Football after school club for 5-16 year olds. The Panel considered that the scheme should not be funded because costings were very basic, no mention of DBS checks for staff and there had been safety concerns over the running of the club. The Panel recommended funding a second application submitted by this TMO, for a summer play scheme.
21	Astley Cooper TRA	Bermondsey	Children and after school activities to reduce crime and antisocial behaviour	9,539	Application was considered to be rather tentative but complicated eg listing a number of activities that were only ideas and had not been fully worked up. They have not yet approached other organisations for assistance eg Millwall FC for coach, or self defence workshop facilitator. Costings seems a bit vague eg no hourly rates or fees
26	Brandon 2	Camberwell West	Brandon Subterranea activities & workshop	9,994	Brandon 2 secretary has stated this application is not supported by the TRA and therefore ineligible
27	Pelier TRA	Walworth West	Community tennis to drive social change	9,850	The Panel considered the application to be very unclear about what it will deliver, when and by whom. It appeared to have been driven by the proposed service provider and it was not clear that the TRA was wholly supportive of the scheme.
32	Grosvenor TRA: 2 of 3	Camberwell West	Grosvenor Estate 4 seasons coach trips	5,700	It was felt that an application consisting solely of coach trips did not sufficiently address the programme priorities. It was also noted that the TRA could apply to other funds, for example the Tenant Fund, for coach trips. It was also noted that the Panel was recommending funding two other applications by this TRA
35	Manor Estate TRA	Bermondsey	Street Manors	9,800	Panel did not feel there was enough detail to this application and found some parts confusing eg proposed using 3 service providers and was not clear what each will offer, when, where etc.
36	Tabard Gardens North	Borough & Bankside	Beautiful Tabard North	1,100	It was noted that the application was for 55% equipment and was more relevant to the council's Cleaner Greener Safer Scheme.
37	Buchan TRA: 2 of 2	Nunhead & Peckham	The Buchaneers football club	7,120	The Panel considered the application to be light on detail and it was not clear that the involvement of the proposed service provider has been secured before making the application. The Panel recommended funding for another application by this TRA.
41	Lettsom TRA: 2 of 4	Camberwell East	Lettsom Family Development	1,200	Panel felt that 2 family events with food, drink and music is what the TRA does anyway. They could apply to Neighbourhoods Fund. TRSIG guidance means we could only fund a "fun day" as part of a bigger project. The Panel recommended funding for three other applications by this TRA
46	SGTO (+ Bells Gardens TRA)	Nunhead & Peckham	Street commercials, ballet & Jazz contemporise dance classes	9,500	Panel felt that the SGTO should not be eligible to apply and if they do application should be TRA led & not the other way round. Proposal is not for a pan Southwark service which would be expected for the pan Southwark SGTO
47	Fair Community Housing	Borough & Bankside	Dream Gardens	5,224	This scheme has been running since 2017-18 but despite this, the application and budget was exactly the same as for the previous year, including a reference to "start up costs". Their application form and monitoring of currently funded scheme suggests they do not seem to have achieved as much as planned. Panel therefore questioned the usefulness of continuing to fund it.
TOTAL				110,933	