

AMENDMENTS

ITEM 3.2 – MOTION ON THE THEME - TACKLING THE HOUSING CRISIS (see pages 29-30 of the main agenda)

AMENDMENT A

Moved: Councillor Anood Al-Samerai

Delete all and **replace** with:

1. Council assembly believes:
 - there is a desperate need for more genuinely affordable housing for Southwark residents
 - development should be done 'with' communities and not 'to' them.
2. Council assembly notes with concern that:
 - since 2010 only 68 council homes have been built while 1,973 have been sold or demolished
 - many affordable homes in new developments are not being delivered at council rents, despite that being a condition of planning approval
 - many of the new council homes planned should have been built as part of developers' affordable housing contributions
 - many new developments such as One the Elephant, One Blackfriars and Fielden House have 100% private luxury flats with no on-site affordable homes while existing council estates are having new homes squeezed in, leading to divided communities
 - there have been serious complaints from residents about how potential housing sites have been identified and poor consultation processes, including the Mayflower and South Dock Marina sites.
 - the Southwark Regeneration in Partnership programme received no bids from developers for the eight Lot A sites in the north of the borough
 - the recent report by the Department for Communities and Local Government which showed that last year Southwark had the highest number of empty homes of any London borough and the fourth-highest number of homes empty more than six months (long-term voids)
 - the borough's unhealthy relationship with developers dictates the terms of regeneration schemes and affordable housing levels.
3. Council assembly calls on the cabinet to:
 - identify measures to bring all the 4,677 empty homes in the borough back into use
 - ensure that the Council's 35% affordable housing target is met in every planning application and that an urgent study is carried out into the number of schemes that have failed to meet this target since May 2010 or where the developer has not met their requirement as stated in planning consents.

- ensure that all viability assessments are made public, and that at least one in three homes in every new development are genuinely affordable
- review urgently the council's consultation processes to ensure that development is done 'with' residents, not 'to' them.

ITEM 5.2.2 – MEMBERS' MOTIONS - SCHOOL FUNDING IN SOUTHWARK (see pages 37-38 of the main agenda)

AMENDMENT B

Moved: Councillor James Okosun

Seconded: Councillor James Barber

After paragraph 3 **insert:**

3. Council assembly further believes that welcome recent initiatives, such as the Pupil Premium introduced by Liberal Democrats in the previous government which has provided extra funding to Southwark's schools, should be protected in future years.
4. Council assembly acknowledges that at the last general election the Liberal Democrats were the only party with a manifesto commitment to ringfence and then increase the education budget in real terms from early years to age 19 - the equivalent of £5.2bn more funding than the Conservatives and £2.5bn more than Labour - by 2020.

Renumber paragraphs accordingly.

ITEM 5.2.3 – MEMBERS' MOTIONS - TAX COMPLIANCE AND PROCUREMENT (see pages 38-39 of the main agenda)

AMENDMENT C

Moved: Councillor Kieron Williams

Seconded: Councillor Eleanor Kerlake

After paragraph 2 **insert:**

Council Assembly welcomes the Corporate Tax Transparency Initiative that the Local Authority Pension Fund Forum is spearheading, which seeks to use the collective shareholder power of Local Authority Pension Funds to influence the companies we part own.

Renumber paragraphs accordingly.

ITEM 5.2.4 – MEMBERS' MOTIONS - A CINDERELLA LINE (see page 39 of the main agenda)

AMENDMENT D

Moved: Councillor Fiona Colley

Seconded: Councillor Nick Dolezal

After paragraph 5 **insert:**

6. Council assembly notes that hundreds of Southwark rail services have been cut this week under a new timetable, which follows months of disruption, delays and cancellations for passengers on Southern services.
7. Council assembly notes that the new timetable has only 1-2 services an hour through Peckham Rye and Queens Road to London Bridge and vice versa, which will lead to massive delays, station overcrowding and huge disruption for our residents trying to get to work.
8. Council assembly notes its concern that the new timetable, which has 341 fewer daily services, will compromise passenger safety by leaving remaining trains dangerously overcrowded, and will leave an already unreliable service at breaking point.
9. Council assembly condemns Govia Thameslink Railway (GTR) for failing to provide an adequate and reliable service for passengers and believes that the government should strip GTR of its franchise and allow Transport for London to run the service.

Renumber paragraphs accordingly.

Note: In accordance with council assembly procedure rule 1.14.15, a member may alter a motion of which they have given notice, with the consent of the meeting. The meeting's consent will be signified without discussion. This alteration will be included for approval as part of the programme motion.

AMENDMENT E

Moved: Councillor Damian O'Brien

Seconded: Councillor Rosie Shimell

After paragraph 1 **insert:**

Council assembly further notes that London Bridge station is also facing overcrowding at peak times due to a combination of train service disruptions and ongoing construction work at the station, and that this is leading to further delays and passenger dissatisfaction.

After paragraph 4 **insert:**

Council assembly also recognises that Govia Thameslink passengers are suffering currently from strike action and high levels of staff sickness, and supports calls for the company to resolve these issues as well as abandon the new revised timetable on its

Southern Trains network meaning 341 fewer services each day and leading to Southwark stations such as East Dulwich having even fewer trains during the morning rush hour compared to the previous already crowded service.

After paragraph 5 **insert**:

Council assembly further calls on the cabinet to supports calls for:

- Southern Trains executives not to receive their bonuses
- A new system of passenger refunds for journeys delayed more than 15 and 30 minutes
- The company to lose their franchise as a result of their ongoing failure to provide a decent service to Southwark residents
- Suburban rail services to be incorporated into the Transport for London network and for the Southern Trains franchise to be one of the first to be transferred given the ongoing service issues.

Renumber paragraphs accordingly.