<u>Appendix 2 – Additional Comments received during the consultation</u>

Question 5 – What street do you live on?

Other	67	18.2%
Bermondsey Street	51	15.4%
Long Lane	24	7.2%
Tanner Street	20	6%
City Walk	13	4%
Whites Ground	13	4%
Snowfields	10	3%
Grange Walk	9	2.7%
Tyers Gate	8	
Royal Oak Yard	7	3.1%
Tooley Street	7	3.1%
Tower Bridge Road	7	3.1%
Grange Road	7	3.1%
Weston Street	6	1.8%
Bell Yard Mews	5	
Lamb Walk	4	1.2%
Leathermarket Garden	4	1.2%
Market Yard Mews	4	1.2%
Maltby Street	3	0.9%
Shad Thames	3	0.9%
Newhams Row	2	0.6%
Abbey Street	2	0.6%
Bermondsey Square	2	0.6%
Decima Street	2	0.6%
Pages Walk	2	0.6%
Wilds rents	2	0.6%
Druid Street	1	0.3%
Kirby Grove	1	0.3%
St Thomas Street	1	0.3%
Alma Grove	1	0.3%
Alscot Rd	1	0.3%
Alscot way	1	0.3%
Arts Lane	1	0.3%
Bakery Street	1	0.3%
Bermondsey Wall West	1	0.3%
Black Swan Yard	1	0.3%
Boss Street	1	0.3%
Camilla road	1	0.3%
Decimal Street	1	0.3%
Enid street	1	0.3%
Frean Street	1	0.3%
Grange Walk Mews	1	0.3%
Green walk	1	0.3%
Harold Estate	1	0.3%
Jamaica road	1	0.3%

Lafone Street	1 [0.3%
Long lane	1 (0.3%
Marcia Road	1 0	0.3%
Marsland close	1 0	0.3%
Mason Street	1 0	0.3%
Mcneil road, se5	1 (0.3%
Mill street	1 0	0.3%
Neckinger	1 (0.3%
New Kent Road	1 0	0.3%
Pilgrimage Street	1 (0.3%
Pocock St	1 (0.3%
pocock street	1 (0.3%
Rainbow st	1 0	0.3%
reedham street	1 0	0.3%
Sumner Street	1 0	0.3%
Sumner Street se1 9jx	1 0	0.3%
Tabard Street	1 0	0.3%
The grange	1 (0.3%
Wansey street	1 (0.3%
Crucifix Lane	1 (0.3%

Question 6 – How often do you receive deliveries to your business?

Annually	1
Bermondsey Street.	
London is a voluntary	
organization with no	
premises or employees.	
No deliveries	1
I receive multiple	
deliveries to my	
Residences well as St	
Mary Magdalen church.	1
This used to be more	
regular before the closure	
happened, every day	1

Question 7 – When are you most likely to receive deliveries?

When the post office or delivery firm can feel that they could complete the order to complete the stuff being delivered. The street should be open not closed 15 metres of a world class city, this is not a village it is a working city, this will push up delivery costs having to travel further	
around the street.	1

Question 8 – What type of vehicle do you use for deliveries?

As a Church: Office deliveries, Conduct of	
Funerals and Weddings (Hearse, Limousine	
+ Disbaled spaces required	1
Deliveries are from couriers and postal	
services so it is what ever they are driving	
mainly LGV. Also be aware that all the	
building on Bermondsey Street and need to	
be serviced by Builders, Plumbers,	
Electricians, Water etc and the introduction of	
the restrictions has made it very difficult to get	
tradesman to service the area. There are too	
few parking spaces that we can offer up and I	
live in a listed building that requires specialist	
technicians. There are also a lot of listed	
building on the street that also have the same	
issue.	1
Licensed London Taxi	1
Taxi . Green vehicles should be excluded and	
allowed to use the street. Pollution is caused	
in all of the surrounding areas when this	
street could be used to reduce congestion.	
Vehicles have to pay road tax out of love	
mission congestion charge insurance and	
they can't use 15 m of the street to get to	
their home address or business because you	
wanna close it because of street space. This	
is not in my opinion good for the community.	1

Question 10 – Are there any areas you think we may have missed?

Wider footpaths on the south side of Bermondsey street	12	The scheme proposes wider footpaths at designated areas of Bermondsey Street
Make the whole/as much as possible of the street pedestrianised	9	No Response
Residents and workers of Bermondsey street should have access to the road through allowed access with the camera that's being installed	4	The Council policy is to promote the use of more sustainable modes of transport, allowing motor vehicles into Bermondsey Street would not support this aim

Improve the general areas footpaths Café's on the street would benefit from bigger footpaths in front of the stores to allow	2	Footpath improvements are part of the scheme If the business would like further seating they must talk to our LBS's
space for tables and chairs Pedestrianised areas around the pubs/bars have led to broken glass on the streets and higher levels of noise due to people drinking in the streets	2	Licencing team This has been passed onto our licencing team
Prioritise pedestrian safety on Tanner Street	2	Street improvements in the local area will be accessed in Phase two
Install a sign on Druid St/Crucifix Lane signalling left to Whites Grounds	2	We will assess the signage in the area as part of phase 1 and 2
Wheelchair users are currently using the road to travel on the street as the footpath isn't in a great condition	2	Issues with conflicted footpaths will be rectified with the proposed widened footpaths
Commercial usage of the pavement by Pizarro Restaurant in the summer significantly narrows the pavement available and restricts accessibility	2	No Response
On the Tanner street junction large vehicles get stuck turning right / is dangerous for people crossing	2	Street improvements in the local area will be accessed in Phase two
Plant more trees on Bermondsey street	2	We will consider it in future design stages of the project
Pavements should be widened outside 142	2	No Response
Increased amount of rubbish being left on the road	1	The increase in rubbish being left will be reported to our street cleansing team
Introduce a no left turn from Long Lane onto Bermondsey Street to lower traffic on the road	1	Street improvements in the local area will be accessed in Phase two
Retain the bollards in front of the Church due to their historic and safety values	1	No Response

Works need to happen on Lambs Walk as the footpath is currently too narrow	1	Street improvements in the local area will be accessed in Phase two
Consideration needs to be given to improve the area for cyclists	1	The scheme is hoped to achieve an improvement for cyclists and pedestrians
Improve Footpath conditions on Long Lane	1	Street improvements in the local area will be accessed in Phase two
Increase the footpath width outside the Tanner & co	1	No Response
Open Bermondsey street up to taxis	1	All addresses are still accessible to taxis
Allow cars to go through there again	1	The Council policy is to promote the use of more sustainable modes of transport, allowing motor vehicles into Bermondsey Street would not support this aim
Pavements should be widened outside 46-62	1	No Response
Build out footpath on Lamb walk as currently only one side has paving	1	Street improvements in the local area will be accessed in Phase two
Find a way to stop vehicles accessing Bermondsey street from Long Lane	1	No Response
The proposal will effect street events such as the Bermondsey street Festival	1	No Response
Pavements should be widened outside and 70	1	No Response
Put a 10mph restriction on Bermondsey street to stop speeding	1	We will continue to monitor vehicle speeds on the road
Supply residents with Soundproof windows	1	No Response
Raising the Road level will cause confusion with road users	1	No Response
The No Road Vehicles section is just a trap to catch motorists and raise revenue	1	No Response
Making it a pedestrian area makes it dangerous for	1	No Response

cyclists and motorists due to the need to weave around pedestrians		
Not allowing traffic through the road has changed the overall feel of the street	1	No Response
Current Contraflow is very dangerous for pedestrians and cyclists	1	The proposed scheme will improve the safety for pedestrians and cyclists
Carmarthen Place is not shown on our maps	1	No Response
All streets should be pedestrianised	1	No Response

Question 11 - To what extent do you agree with the proposal to raise the road on Tyer's Gate at the junction of Bermondsey Street to prioritise pedestrians? (While retaining vehicle access, raising the road will slow vehicles down and create a flat surface for those walking and wheeling)

A raised road could allow for more outdoor dining, benefiting local businesses.	1	Businesses can apply for outdoor furniture licenses from the council
Agree only given there is no vehicle access anymore anyway. I still strongly object to the traffic filters and the bollards and/or any other form of roadblock.	1	No Response
As a frequent visitor the street when busy which it normally is is a very hard to be safe in due to a very small pavement especially when walking with children	1	With the proposed footway widening, it is hoped that safety will improve
As a wheelchair user any improvement to level access is welcome	1	No Response
Because I do not feel there is a need to do that as it might encourage people to stay near the road thinking they can be safe.	1	No Response
Businesses need access for deliveries. There is plenty of space for pedestrians.	1	No Response

it's necessary - I walk down there a lot and very rarely meet a car and if I do they are never going fast - it seems like a waste of money and resources. 1	
I agree with the widening. Can we please have a sign though We will assess signage in the area	
on Druid St / Crucifix signalling left down Whites Grounds for cars / deliveries to access the north part of Bermondsey St (ie north of the road bollard).	
People just continuously miss that turn sending them round	
in a big circle. It has become a	
really problem. 1	
I am strongly in favour of No Respon	nse
giving as much priority as possible to pedestrians on	
Bermondsey Street. It will	
make it a much pleasanter	
place to use.	
I believe it's a great way to No Respon	nse
indicate that pedestrians are	
about and that this isn't a car- first zone.	
I think it is a good idea to raise No Respo	160
road so those in pushchairs or	130
wheelchairs have one level	
surface to make their way. It	
does however create an	
impression that this is a	
pedestrian only road and	
people walk on the road which	
is dangerous 1 I would support this proposal if No Respon	200
this treatment has been	136
included at other junctions on	
B St ie at Whites Grounds and	
Lamb Walk. If it's beneficial at	
Tyers Gate, why not in these	
other locations?	
If anything could do more 1 No Respon	
If the road is to be raised at Applications this junction then it is	
this junction then it is licenses can be made important that licencing laws licenses can be made in the Southward licenses can be made in the Sout	
are adhered to. This change licensing tea	
cannot encourage groups of	

people to be drinking & eating on side roads such as Tyers Gate at different hours of the day & night that is already detrimental & clearly not acceptable to existing residents. I'm not sure how much this will slow cyclists, some of whom go through here far too quickly. The number of motor		We will continue to monitor Cycle speeds in the area
vehicles through here should be minimal so not sure what impact it has on them.	1	
In my view pedestrians and cyclist should get priority in Bermondsey Street	1	No Response
It helps if this road is fully pedestrian which many locals are hoping is not the case.	1	No Response
It will encourage larger groups to congregate and increase noise in the evenings/nights	1	No Response
it will help control motor vehicle speed	1	No Response
It would be more beneficial on lamb walk with reduction of speed and making vehicules		No Response
to drive slow	1	Acceptability of the
It's difficult enough to get to Snowsfields in a vehicle.	1	Accessibility of the local road network will be considered in phase 2
It's not clear what you mean by 'vehicles'. Does this mean that you're removing the closure to cars outside The Garrison etc?? Please don't reopen the street to motorised		The Modal Filter will be remaining in place
vehicles. Just be careful that the bricks	1	The meterials used will
used are not slippery when		The materials used will be in accordance with
wet as those that have been		the Southwark
added to other street junctions		Streetscape Design
in recent years e.g.		Manuals (SSDM) this
Neckinger/Spa Road. Spa		includes a minimum
Road/Grange Road. These		requirement for slip
are really scary to walk on when wet or icy	1	resistance.

Just need to ensure that the		No Response
level changes are cycle friendly given the well used		
TfL bike stand. PS the removal		
of the drop kerb at the Weston		
St entrance to Leathermarket		
Gardens should be reversed		
as part of these works to join		
up the cycling infrastructure.	1	
Levelling pavement to	1	No Response
sidewalk height clearly		No Response
prioritises pedestrians and		
cyclists over motor vehicles,		
making it safer for everyone.	1	
makes noise as cars slow	1	No Response
	1	No Response
down and go over More roads don't need to be	1	No Pospono
blocked off/raised	1	No Response
		No Doopone
Not aware of any particular		No Response
problems experienced by		
pedestrians in crossing the		
mouth of Tyer's Gate so it is		
unclear why this special measure would be needed.	1	
	1	No Pospono
Pedestrians already walk across the road without		No Response
looking at cars or bikes pulling out.	1	
Private motor vehicle access	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	No Posponso
shouldn't be retained. The		No Response
whole street should be		
pedestrianised	1	
Raise road will encourage	'	This has been passed
more people to drink/eat		on to our licencing
outside Bermondsey Street		team
Deli. We already have large		team
numbers in the summer		
drinking well after 11pm.	1	
Raising the Road surface is	1	No Response
unnecessary when the traffic		140 Response
is already very light and would		
further shift the balance away		
from legitimate and necessary		
road use.	1	
Raising the road will lead to a	'	No Response
return of more cars	1	140 I (Capoliae
Seems like a waste of money.	'	No Response
The step change from road to		140 1 Capoliae
path is very small and the	1	
pati io vory sinali and the	<u> </u>	

traffic into Tyers Gate is very		
minimal		No Doggono
Slowing vehicles is important.		No Response
Many pedestrians use this road	1	
The current measures have	I	We are aware of this
		and will continue to
led to BSt having much less motorised traffic. HOWEVER,		monitor cycle speeds
the speed some bicycles and		monitor cycle speeds
scooters drive through the the		
street is VERY dangerous to		
pedestrians. Something would		
need to be done to ensure this		
traffic is being slowed down		
CONSIDERABLY	1	
The levels of traffic on the		No Response
street are already so low that		•
pedestrians have priority as it		
is	1	
The priority on Bermondsey		No Response
street should be to encourage		
and enable pedestrians to		
enjoy the street and shops		
fully so i strongly support this		
proposal	1	
There should be continuous		No Response
pavements over side roads,		
without ugly markings, as		
other London local authorities		
are doing as standard (indeed		
TfL did on Blackfriars Road	4	
years ago).	1	As part of Dhase two
This adds further time for		As part of Phase two,
locals to drive home, this is not a simple drive around the		we will be assessing the road network
corner the whole one way		around Bermondsey
system is a mess and leads to		Street and look at how
locals being caught in the		the can be improved
traffic at tower bridge which is		the can be improved
shocking. Access to this street		
is need for emergency and the		
locals should also have		
access to this road as a		
human right.	1	
This could be positive in		No Response
relation to park access as long		-
as clear vehicular access is		
retained.	1	
This is dangerous as it causes		No Response
confusion	1	

This should only be changed if it benefits all people in the area. The point is roads need to work for everyone when all users are not considered we end up with networks that don't facilitate mobilities jobs and environment roads are a public asset and need to manage or transport for all this is dividing communities.	1	No Response
is dividing communities.	<u>'</u>	The proposal to raise
Vehicles still running too fast around the area	1	The proposal to raise the road is with the intention to will slow vehicles down.
What difference with this make you have already shut the road from Bermondsey Street south to north so vehicles cannot continue forward. Therefore to write making it a hell of a journey for anybody who wants to go from south Bermondsey straight up to North Wembley straight or London Bridge station or Tony Street, even public transport i.e. Taxis	1	No Response
Widening the pavement and raising the road will further encourage anti-social behaviour and noise nuisance from those congregating outside the bars and restaurants in the locality.	1	This has been passed on to our licencing team
would welcome, plus speed bumps all the way along the street, especially at the lower end by Long Lane. There is regular inappropriate speeding down the street	1	Phase two will be assessing the road networks in the local area
Yes, bringing in a system to have drop-cones (as in drop into the ground) or Residents passes controlled by CCTV/Nunber plate recognition system, for the lower part of Bermondsey St, to allow local residents to drive through. This would reduce	1	No Response

some of the congestion that has now built up on Tanner St & reduce carbon emissions by reducing the time residents are on the road (detouring up Tanner St, onto Tower Bridge Rd & then onto Crucifix Lane) just to get to Snowsfields. Crazy!!!! There's surely got to be a better way for residents in this area to access thier homes!		
You are pushing traffic		We will continue to
elsewhere, creating		monitor traffic levels in
congestion on other routes		the area and we shall
and making the wider area		be looking into the local
less suitable, for the benefit of		road network in Phase
one street.	1	two
You need to ensure that water		No Response
can drain away and not flood		·
local properties and that the		
road surface is quiet	1	

Question 12 - To what extent do you agree with proposals to raise the road in between Morocco Street and White's Grounds and prioritise access for those walking and cycling?

Agree only given there is no		No Response
vehicle access anymore anyway.		
I still strongly object to the traffic		
filters and the bollards and/or any		
other form of roadblock.	1	
Anything that prioritises		No Response
pedestrians is to be welcomed,		
though I'm not sure how much		
advantage raising the surface will		
provide.	1	
As a wheelchair user any		No Response
improvement to level access is		
welcome	1	
As above, levelling pavement to		No Response
sidewalk height clearly prioritises		
pedestrians and cyclists over		
motor vehicles, making it safer for		
everyone. In this case it also		
provides a great first step towards		
pedestrianising the whole of		
Bermondsey Street in the future.	1	

As ling as there will be no street clutter and restaurants taking		No Response
advantage of outside space	1	
Ditto 11	1	No Response
I agree with making it easier for		No Response
pedestrians but the street should		
be opened up to traffic	1	
I agree with the widening. Can we		We will look into
please have a sign though on		signage in the local
Druid St / Crucifix signalling left		area
down Whites Grounds for cars /		
deliveries to access the north part		
of Bermondsey St (ie north of the		
road bollard). People just		
continuously miss that turn		
sending them round in a big		
circle. It has become a really		
problem.	1	
I believe it's a great way to		No Response
indicate that pedestrians are		
about and that this isn't a car-first		
zone.	1	
I believe that the main Street		No Response
should still be defined, partly		
because of it's historical		
significance.	1	
I don't think that raising the		The proposal is to
pavement will make it better for		make the cycle lane
cycling - which needs dedicated		permanent and in
cycle lanes that are more clearly		turn better marked
delineated and demarcated.	1	out
I think it will create confusion. It		No Response
may make it look like a pedestrian		
only area and confuse		
pedestrians / traffic	1	
I think this works as a traffic-		No Response
calming measure and increases		
footfall and custom for local		
businesses, and also reduces		
traffic and makes the area safer		
and more pleasant for local		
residents	1	

I would support this proposal if it was clear how you are going to slow cycles and other wheeled traffic that currently assumes priority in this area? Raising the		The proposal will look at improving pedestrian and cyclists safety.
surface will imply pedestrian priority and could make pedestrians vulnerable to bikes/cargo bikes/scooters etc. How are you going to control potential expansion of the retail units that have already colonised		Any business looking to expand their seating will have to go to our licencing team who will assess their request.
the space outside their premises? I don't object to the animation of the street by these businesses but they need to be managed/controlled to ensure they don't expand further into the		
new pavement space that the proposals will provide.	1	
If the road is to be raised at this	1	This has been
junction then it is important that licencing laws are adhered to.		passed on to our licencing team
This change cannot encourage		3
groups of people to be drinking & eating on side roads such as		
Morocco Street at different hours		
of the day & night that is already		
detrimental & clearly not		
If you insist on closing the road	1	No Response
then this seems a reasonable way		No Nesponse
to demarcate the area	1	
In case there is no opportunity		No Response
later to comment on the proposed		
ANPR camera, BermondseyStreet.London asks		
that, to preserve the privacy of		
residents and pedestrians, the		
camera be directed solely at the		
carriageway, excluding views of		
windows, doors and pavements	1	DI ()
		Phase two will be
It is too difficult to get to		assessing the road networks in the local
Snowsfields in a vehicle.	1	area
It will encourage larger groups to	·	This has been
congregate at bars and increase		passed on to our
noise in the evenings/nights	1	licencing team

it will help control motor vehicle speed	1	No Response
its the only access to	'	No Response
Bermondsey Street by vehicle		No Nesponse
(that is if the bollards are still in		
the middle of B Street	1	
It's unclear from the plan but this	'	No Response
area should not be marked with		No Nesponse
lines etc. You should remove all		
priority markings between Tanner		
& Morocco Street junctions:		
current markings are both ugly		
and counter-intuitive.	1	
Just be careful that the bricks		The materials used
used are not slippery when wet as		will be in accordance
those that have been added to		with the Southwark
other street junctions in recent		Streetscape Design
years e.g. Neckinger/Spa Road.		Manuals (SSDM) this
Spa Road/Grange Road. These		includes a minimum
are really scary to walk on when		requirement for slip
wet or icy	1	resistance
makes noise as cars slow down	·	No Response
and go over	1	
Raise road will encorouge more		This has been
people to drink/eat outside bars		passed onto our
and restaurants eg. The Garrison,		licencing team
Jose, The Woolpack etc.	1	3
Raising the road will lead to more		No Response
cars	1	
Residents on whites ground		No Response
estate are totally cut off from		
accessing our homes from the		
North of Bermondsey Street.	1	
Roads in the area need to be		No Response
opened not closed/raised	1	
Same as above	2	No Response
See above	1	No Response
See comment in 11 above.	1	No Response
Slowing vehicles is important.		No Response
Many pedestrians use this road	1	-
strongly agree with prioritizing		No Response
access for walking and cycling;		
unsure that raising the road has a		
positive effect (and worried that it		
may have a negative effect)	1	
The current measures have led to		We will continue to
BSt having much less motorised		monitor cycle speeds
traffic. HOWEVER, the speed		in the area
some bicycles and scooters drive		
through the the street is VERY	1	

dangerous to pedestrians.		
,		
Something would need to be done to ensure this traffic is being		
slowed down CONSIDERABLY		
The levels of traffic on the street		No Decrees
		No Response
are already so low that	4	
pedestrians have priority as it is	1	
The point is Roach need to work		No Response
for everyone when all users are		
not considered we end up with		
networks that don't facilitate		
mobilities jobs and the		
environment roads are public		
assets I need to manage		
transport for all this divides		
communities	1	
The problem in this area is		We will continue to
electric cyclists (usually deliveroo)		monitor cycle speeds
going through at 30mph+. this is		in the area
not going to stop this. there are		
no cars here now anyway	1	
This area has already become a		This has been
messy drinking /smoking area for		passed onto the
folks outside bars on the street.		licencing team
This would likely make it worse.		
Vehicles should instead pass		
through here.	1	
This is a multi-way junction,		No Response
pedestrians need remain watchful		i to i toopenee
for traffic, including cyclists		
heading along the east-west		
Cycle Quietway	1	
This is an area where pedestrians	'	We will continue to
tend to wander out in front of		monitor speeds in the
		area
bicycles, and it can be a		alea
dangerous corner. Especially for electric scooters and electric		
	1	
bikes coming through at speed.	1	No Despess
This is dangerous as it causes	4	No Response
confusion	1	Na Danie
This is good idea as the current		No Response
situation (blocking the street at		
this junction) is currently		
congesting the road from 112		
Bermondsey Street on peak time.		
Bad for security, environment and		
noise.	1	
This should be the start of		No Response
creating two lanes for cyclists,	1	

instead of the one which currently exists.		
To get from Long Lane eastbound out onto Tower Bridge Road when driving is increasingly difficult. I admit I still tend to go up the main part of Bermondsey St and out via Tanner St, rather than the long way round via the south sections of Bermondsey St. Could you look at enabling a left turn from Abbey St eastbound into Tower Bridge Rd (currently not allowed)?	1	Phase two will be assessing the road networks in the local area
Vehicles and bikes still travel too fast round this corner. Raising the road may help slow them down.	1	No Response
Widening the pavement and raising the road will further encourage anti-social behaviour and noise nuisance from those congregating outside the bars and restaurants in the locality.	1	No Response
Would also leave current barriers in place in this area, otherwise more vehicles will use it and create a hazard	1	No Response
would welcome, plus speed bumps all the way along the street, especially at the lower end by Long Lane. There is regular inappropriate speeding down the street	1	Phase two will be assessing the road networks in the local area
Yes, bringing in a system to have drop-cones (as in drop into the ground) or Residents passes controlled by CCTV/Nunber plate recognition system, for the lower part of Bermondsey St, to allow local residents to drive through. This would reduce some of the congestion that has now built up on Tanner St & reduce carbon emissions by reducing the time residents are on the road (detouring up Tanner St, onto Tower Bridge Rd & then onto Crucifix Lane) just to get to Snowsfields. Crazy!!!! There's surely got to be a better way for	1	No Response

residents in this area to access thier homes!		
You are pushing traffic elsewhere,		Phase two will be
creating congestion on other		assessing the road
routes and making the wider area		networks in the local
less suitable, for the benefit of		area and how traffic
one street.	1	flows
You need to ensure that water		No Response
can drain away and not flood local		
properties and that the road		
surface is quiet	1	
You seem to think that everybody		All addresses are still
cycles on walks they do not. Do		accessible to taxis
you have a lot of tourists in		
Bermondsey Street and I cannot		
understand why they cannot go		
straight through on public		
transport. I.e. Taxis as buses do		
not run up Bermondsey Street	1	
Agree only given there is no		No Response
vehicle access anymore anyway.		
I still strongly object to the traffic		
filters and the bollards and/or any		
other form of roadblock.	1	

Additional Comments from Licenced Taxi Drivers Association received via email received January 27, 2023 4:37 PM

To whom it may concern,

I write on behalf of the Licensed Taxi Drivers Association (LTDA) regarding your consultation on the measures proposed for Bermondsey Steet scheme and to outline ways that we believe it could be improved. Please take this email as our formal response to the consultation.

The LTDA is the professional and authoritative voice of London's licensed taxi drivers, representing over half London's black cab drivers. Licensed taxis are an integral part of London's public transport network. They provide a vital door-to-door, wheelchair accessible and increasingly sustainable mode of transport and keep the capital moving efficiently.

London's licensed taxis have the exclusive right to ply for hire on our streets and are legally obliged to undertake any compellable journey, with fares set and closely

regulated by Transport for London. This guarantees that passengers can always find a vehicle to take them where they need to go. They are also equipped with devices such as hearing loops, to ensure that they can provide a safe, effective service and cater to the needs of every passenger.

In view of these key characteristics, licensed taxis also have a distinct legal status and rights, such as the ability to use the majority of London's bus lanes and exemption from road charging schemes like the ULEZ and Congestion Charge. It is therefore vital that you ensure that adequate provision is made for taxi access in this scheme to allow taxis to continue to meet the needs of local residents, people with protected characteristics such as the elderly or disabled, and businesses.

As it stands, our members have expressed real concerns about this scheme over its period of operation, however we believe it can deliver on its objectives, without undermining the important and unique service that London's black cabs provide with one minor change.

We believe that London's licensed taxis should have full access to the section of Bermondsey Street between Morocco Street and Whites Grounds. Whilst this may seem on the face of it to be a small change involving a short section of road it would make a huge difference to our members and ensure that taxis are providing the most efficient and reliable service for passengers. Bypassing this restriction involves making a number of turns and using several side streets, to get back on to the route. This is time consuming and has wider implications in terms of increased fares and inconvenience for passengers, who often complain, if the driver takes what seems like an odd, round and about route, even though it is not their choice to do so. If necessary, to facilitate taxi access, you could consider introducing a lower speed limit on this short section to ensure the best outcome for all and allay any safety concerns from other road users.

Licensed taxis (hackney carriages) are one of the safest, if not the safest mode of public transport, carrying many passengers who are unable to walk or cycle, but also choose not to use a private car. As it stands, the restriction forces taxi drivers to take longer, unnecessarily convoluted routes to access some premises and businesses on Bermondsey Street. It also makes such journeys more expensive for passengers, putting those with no other means of transport at their disposal at a considerable disadvantage and make the service licensed taxis provide less competitive. It is also simply displacing traffic and resulting congestion and pollution onto other roads within the area and side streets, making it a less attractive and appealing destination.

Granting this additional access to licensed taxis, would bring this scheme into line with other schemes in your borough which have provided exemptions for licensed taxis and ensuring they have the access we need to continue to provide an efficient and effective service. This could be easily incorporated into and achieved through your plan to replace the bollards with ANPR cameras to ensure better access for emergency vehicles. Similar ANPR systems are used to administer the ULEZ and Congestion Charge, which taxis are already exempt from and to monitor the use of bus lanes (which taxis are also permitted to use) and a number of local authorities have used ANPR to allow taxis to access their low traffic neighbourhoods and other similar schemes, including Southwark. Local authorities can already access TfL's licenced taxi list which is updated on a daily basis to use for bus lane enforce borough bus lane access.

Our members share your commitment to cleaning up London's air and to making our city a better place to live and work for everyone. 44% of London's taxi fleet is now zero emission capable. Drivers continue to invest heavily in these clean, green vehicles, working towards an all-electric fleet within the decade. London's licensed taxis therefore provide an increasingly sustainable alternative to private car ownership, helping to reduce car use and promoting shared mobility through apps like *Taxiapp and ComCab*.

Licensed taxis also have a safety record which is second to none. They are by far the safest form of public transport, as demonstrated by accident and casualty statistics for the capital. Our members are professional, experienced drivers, with higher levels of awareness and training than private car users. They are committed to sharing the roads safely with cyclists and other road users and providing a safe service for their passengers.

We would welcome the opportunity to meet with you to discuss the implications of this scheme for taxis and how these might be mitigated in this and any future schemes, in more detail. We can also provide examples of how licensed taxi access has been maintained in other areas, without undermining the objectives and efficacy of these schemes.

Yours faithfully,

Licensed Taxi Drivers Association Taxi House 133 Great Suffolk Street, London SE1 1PP