

**From:** Earis, Richard

**Sent:** Wednesday, December 1, 2021 9:36 AM

**To:** Regen, Licensing <Licensing.Regen@southwark.gov.uk>

**Cc:**

**Subject:** Objection to License application 875987 - S & F Private Events, Basement, 871 Old Kent Road

**RE: New Premises License 875987 - S & F Private Events, Basement, 871 Old Kent Road, London, SE15 1NX**

I have considered the application on behalf of the Environmental Protection Team (Prevention of Public Nuisance Responsible Authority).

I object to the application on the following grounds:

- The operating hours exceed the Licensing Policy hours for this area. As a residential area closing time for restaurants, pubs, bars and other drinking establishments is 23:00 daily and the current controls contained within the application are considered insufficient to ensure the prevention of public nuisance Licensing Objective to the proposed hours.
- The area is a sensitive residential area. There are residential dwellings directly adjacent to the premises which risk being adversely affected by music and dispersal. Premises in this location in the past have been the source of significant resident complaint including a previous License revocation

With the aim of conciliating our objections, it is suggested to the applicant that our concerns would be resolved by limiting the opening hours to the Licensing Policy hours (to 23.00 daily) and agreeing the following conditions:

- Clearly legible signage shall be prominently displayed at all exits where it can easily be seen and read, requesting that customers leave the premises in a quiet and orderly manner that is respectful to neighbours.
- Noise from plant, patrons and activities at the premises shall be managed to ensure that public nuisance shall not be caused in the vicinity of the premises or intrude inside the nearest or most exposed noise sensitive premises.
- A sound limiting device shall be installed, set and maintained, to control maximum levels of amplified sound inside the premises so as to ensure entertainment noise from the premises does not cause a public nuisance in the vicinity of the premises, and in particular is not audible at the façade of any nearby noise sensitive premises.

- All audio and musical equipment used in the premises, permitted under the Licensing Act 2003 or the Live Music Act 2012, shall be played through the installed sound limiting device.
- Any additional amplification equipment imported on to the premises by third parties, permitted under the Licensing Act 2003 or the Live Music Act 2012, shall be connected to and use the installed sound limited device/circuit.
- All external doors and doors to noise lobbies used by patrons to enter the premises shall have acoustic seals and brushes and self-closers (in accordance with BS 6459 Pt. 1 1984) fitted to those doors.
- All speakers for the broadcast of sound within the premises shall be isolated from the structure of the premises by anti-vibration mountings or mats.
- During any licensed entertainment on the premises, permitted under the Licensing Act 2003 or the Live Music Act 2012, all doors and windows shall remain closed (except for access or egress).
- Any background music played shall remain at a volume that permits normal conversation and the volume control of any music shall be behind the bar/counter and in the full control of staff at all times.
- Amplified music, song or speech shall not be broadcast in external areas at any time.
- No drinks or glassware are permitted outside at any time.
- There shall be no more than 5 persons permitted outside, to smoke only, after 21.00 hours
- Any queue to enter the premises must be supervised at all times by door supervisors.
- Any queuing area must be within suitable barriers.
- External waste handling, collections, deliveries and the cleaning of external areas shall only occur between the hours of 08.00hrs and 20.00hrs.
- There shall be no movement of musical or amplification equipment to and from the premises between the hours of 23.00hrs and 08.00hrs.
- Suitably qualified or experienced persons shall be employed at all times, whilst licensable activity is being provided, and shall take all reasonable steps to ensure that patrons do not cause a nuisance in the vicinity of the premises.

- A comprehensive Dispersal Policy shall be produced and implemented at the premises, with all staff trained on the most recent iteration of the policy. A record of staff training on the Dispersal Policy shall be kept at the premises and a copy of the policy and training records be made available to the council or police on request.

Kind Regards,

Richard

**Richard Earis**  
Principal Environmental Protection Officer  
**Environmental Protection Team**

**020 7525 2469**

Postal address: Southwark Council | Environmental Protection Team | Regulatory Services | 3rd Floor Hub 1 | PO Box 64529 | London | SE1P 5LX.

Office address (By appointment only): Southwark Council | Environmental Protection Team | Regulatory Services | 3rd Floor Hub 1 | 160 Tooley Street | London | SE1 2QH

[www.southwark.gov.uk](http://www.southwark.gov.uk)

# MEMO: Licensing Unit

---

To Licensing Unit Date 3 December 2021

From Jayne Tear

Email jayne.tear@southwark.gov.uk

---

Subject Re: S & F Private Events, Basement, 871 Old Kent Road, London SE15 1NX

– Application for a premises licence

I write with regards to the above application for a premises licence under the Licensing Act 2003 submitted by S & F Private Events Limited, which seeks to the following licensable activities:

- Live music (indoors) on Friday and Saturday from 23:00 to 23:30
- Recorded music (indoors) on Friday and Saturday from 23:00 to 23:30
- Late night refreshment (indoors) on Friday and Saturday from 23:00 to 23:30
- Supply of alcohol (on the premises) on Sunday to Thursday from 11:00 to 22:30 and on Friday and Saturday from 11:00 to 23:30
- Opening times shall be on Sunday to Thursday from 11:00 to 23:00 and on Friday and Saturday from 11:00 to 00:00

My representation is based on the Southwark Statement of Licensing policy 2021 – 2026 and relates to the licensing objectives for the prevention of crime and disorder and the prevention of public nuisance.

The premises is described within the application as *'This premises is a Restaurant with Bar Facilities. They have tables and chairs to their customers and toilet facilities. Our Company S & F Private Events Ltd rent the Basement of the Facilities. The Basement of the facilities will be the Licensable Area.'*

If the premises is to be a *'restaurant with bar facilities'* I note that there is not a kitchen shown on the premises plan and ask the applicant where meals will be prepared.

This premises is situated within a **residential area** and under the Southwark Statement of Licensing Policy the closing times appropriate for public houses, wine bars or other drinking establishments and restaurants and cafes is 23:00 hours daily. Night clubs (with sui generis planning classification) are not considered appropriate for this area.

The hours applied for are in excess of what it appropriate for a bar or restaurant in this area within the statement of licensing policy.

Furthermore this premises has previously been the subject of review applications with the last review being an expedited review submitted by the metropolitan police. At the full review hearing on 27 October 2020 the Licensing Sub-Committee revoked the premises licence in order to promote the licensing objectives stating *'The licensing sub-committee have no confidence in the premises promoting the licensing objectives and there is no alternative but to revoke the licence'*. I attach a copy of the Notice of decision from that hearing to this representation.

The previous licensee was Afrikiko Restaurant & Night Club Ltd and DPS was Phillip Kwasi Asare

I have concerns that either the previous licensee, DPS or management may still have a controlling interest in the premises and ask the applicant to provide a lease for the part of the premises pertaining to this licensed application.

Due to the limited information on the application form to promote the licensing objectives I ask the applicant to provide the following information

- An accommodation limit for the premises
- To provide a written dispersal policy for the premises
- A lease agreement for the premises

In its current state, the application has not adequately addressed the licensing objectives and I recommend that this application is refused.

Should the LSC be of a mind to grant a licence, in order to promote the licensing objectives, I recommend the following:

- The closing times are brought back in line with the licensing policy (23:00 daily) and as such the licensable activities (allowing half an hour drinking up time for alcohol sales) and to remove late night refreshment as not required after 23:00.
- Add a condition prohibiting the previous licensee and DPS or management from entering the premises whilst licensable activities are being provided.

And to add further conditions as follows:

- The accommodation limit for the premises shall not exceed ??? persons (excluding staff)
- The written dispersal policy shall be kept at the premises with the licence and made available for inspection by authorised council officers or the police. All relevant staff shall be trained in the implementation of the dispersal policy

I may submit further supporting information to this representation at a later stage.

I therefore submit this representation and welcome any discussion with the applicant to address my concerns

Southward's Statement of Licensing Policy 2021 – 2026 can be found on the following link:  
<https://www.southwark.gov.uk/business/licences/business-premises-licensing/licensing-and-gambling-act-policy>

Jayne Tear  
Principal Licensing officer  
In the capacity of Licensing Authority as a Responsible Authority

Attached- NOD FROM 27/10/2020

## NOTICE OF DECISION

### LICENSING SUB-COMMITTEE – 27 OCTOBER 2020

#### **SECTION 53C LICENSING ACT 2003: AFRIKIKO RESTAURANT & NIGHT CLUB LTD (t/a “AFRIKIKO” 871 Old Kent Road, London SE15 1NX)**

#### **1. Decision**

That the council’s licensing sub-committee, having considered an application made under Section 53C of the Licensing Act 2003 by the Metropolitan Police Service for the review of the premises licence issued in respect of the premises known as Afrikiko Restaurant & Night Club Ltd (t/a “Afrikiko” 871 Old Kent Road, London SE15 1NX) and having had regard to all other relevant representations has decided it necessary for the promotion of the licensing objectives to:

- Revoke the premises licence.

#### **2. Reasons for the decision**

This was an application for a review of the premises licence in respect of Afrikiko Restaurant & Night Club Ltd (t/a “Afrikiko” 871 Old Kent Road, London SE15 1NX) brought under Section 53C of the Licensing Act 2003.

A preliminary issue was raised by the representative for the premises. They advised that the breach of COVID-19 regulations and guidance was not a legitimate basis for a premises licence review or a summary review and therefore should not be brought to the sub-committee. This application was brought using the expedited process under Section 53A of the Licensing Act 2003, based on the fact that a superintendent of the Metropolitan Police Service was of the opinion that the premises was associated with serious crime and/or serious disorder. This review process was not the correct mechanism to address the COVID-19 compliance of a premises. Alternative and specific powers should be used by authorities to address the premises operating in a COVID-19 secure manner.

The representative for the Metropolitan Police Service responded by informing members that the time had passed to question the superintendent’s certificate. If the basis of the certificate was in question, it should have been challenged in the High Court.

The licensing sub-committee was not persuaded by the argument submitted by the premises’ representative. All relevant case law was considered and accepted as to why this case fell under the expedited process on 2 October 2020. Those are set out in the notice of decision of the same date. Furthermore, the licensing sub-committee were directed to Section 53C of the Licensing Act 2003 which provides:

“The licensing authority must:

- a. hold a hearing to consider the application for the review and any relevant representations; and
- b. take such steps as it considers appropriate for the promotion of the licensing objectives”.

The premises representative’s legal argument was therefore rejected and the hearing then moved on to the parties presentations. The legal representative for the police advised that the police and responsible authorities had attempted to engage with the premises since July 2020 and that the police have tried hard to engage with the operator in line with the “4Es” approach to policing during the COVID-19 pandemic namely: engage, explain, encourage, enforce. The premises had previously been the subject of a review in 2017 after a customer had died on the premises steps. More recently, the police cited a number of incidents that justified the police’s request to revoke the premises licence.

On Friday 31 July 2020 police attended the premises at 23:45. Officers met with the manager. The ground floor restaurant area of the premises was quiet but loud music could be heard coming from the basement nightclub area.

When the police entered the basement they saw approximately 20 persons sitting together. A DJ was behind a DJ booth adjacent to the dancefloor area. Music was so loud officers had to shout to make themselves heard. Social distancing measures were not apparent and masks were not being used. Dancing was not witnessed, but the facility for dancing was apparent. On this basis, the police concluded that the premises was operating as a nightclub.

When questioned, the manager confirmed no risk assessment was in place but was “in the pipeline”. The manager was advised to correct this before re-opening to the public. The police officer also recommended that the operator should put tables and chairs on the dancefloor so people would not be tempted to dance. He was also advised to read the guidance before re-opening. This was in breach of the Health and Safety Act 1974 and associated guidelines, in addition to “Keeping workers and customers safe during COVID-19 in restaurants, pubs, bars and takeaway services” (3 July 2020).

The police also attended the premises on Saturday 15 August 2020. Loud club-style music could be easily heard from outside the premises. Approximately 30 patrons were present in the basement. Flashing strobe nightclub style lighting was in operation, a DJ in a DJ booth and patrons dancing on the dancefloor were also observed.

Officers again concluded that the basement area was operating as nightclub. Social distancing measures were not apparent and masks were not being used. When spoken to, the manager denied people had been dancing regardless of what the officers had witnessed. Officers reminded the manager that the premises could operate as a bar, but not a nightclub. This was contrary to the “Keeping workers and customers safe during COVID-19 in restaurants, pubs, bars and takeaway services” (3 July 2020).

Additionally, nobody at the premises was able to operate the CCTV system. The manager was also unable to produce either the premises licence or a summary of it. A formal notification of offences was served on the operator for Licensing Act 2003 breaches relating to Section 57(4) (failure to secure a premises licence or a certified copy at the premises or to prominently display a summary of the licence) and Section 57(7) (failure to produce a premises licence or a certified copy). The notification also included breaches in relation to conditions 288 and 289 concerning the installation of a CCTV system and retention of CCTV.

The police attended the premises again on 19 August 2020 to serve a formal notification warning notice on the venue regarding the visit on 15 August 2020. The ground floor restaurant was open, but no personal licence holder was present, in breach of the premises licence conditions. A formal notification relating to this offence of breaching condition 101, contrary to Section 137 of the Licensing Act 2003 (exposing alcohol for retail without an authorisation) was also served. As a result a Section 19 Criminal Justice and Police Act 2001 closure notice was served.

The police once again attended the premises on Friday 29 August 2020 at 01:00. The police were informed by the door supervisor present on the door they would need to wait for the manager before carrying a licensing inspection of the premises. The officers entered the premises to carry out their inspection.

When the police were waking down the staircase to the basement area, a male saw the officers and shouted "Shut the door! Shut the door.!" The door to the basement area was promptly closed and blocked from the inside. Others also attempted to stop the officers entering the basement area.

When the police managed to open the door, a female was found to be pushing the door shut with both her hands. Despite what the police witnessed, it was denied that the door was held shut, with one male stating "No one was holding the door, you just pushed the door when she was leaning against it". Approximately 47 to 60 men and women, aged between 18 and 30, were standing around. Social-distancing measures were not observed and no face masks were worn. Nightclub style neon lighting was on and a DJ was present.

The officers again concluded that the premises had been operating as a night club. An amplified male voice said "Sit down, sit in your seats" and "No dancing... just look at each other" and the DJ announced "I'm going to play music in the background". The patrons were dressed in party attire. Police again spoke with the manager who denied that the premises were operating as a nightclub. The officers therefore warned the manager that enforcement action could follow.

Reference was also made to 4 September 2020 when council officers and police attended the premises. Trading standards attended on this occasion and gave direct evidence regarding their attendance as part of their representation.

On 17 September 2020 police officers attended the premises to obtain CCTV footage of incidents when it was believed a prohibited nightclub had been in operation. The manager complained that he was being persecuted and that the police should visit other premises who were "packing people in like sardines". The



manager refused to identify the other premises, stating “I’m not giving you the names, I’m not a snitch”. The manager did not agree to show officers the CCTV footage, so instead, the officers left him with a USB memory stick and instructed that the manager download the relevant CCTV footage from 29 August 2020. It later transpired that the USB stick returned to the police was blank.

The police attended the premises again on Saturday 26 September 2020 at 22:49 to ensure that it was complying with the 22:00 curfew regulations that had been introduced by way of an amendment to The Health Protection (Coronavirus, Restrictions) (No.2)(England) Regulations 2020 (“the No.2 Regs”) on 24 September.

Officers knocked on the front door and entry was initially refused by the manager. Upon entry, officers witnessed 8 to 10 patrons seated or standing with bottles of beer sitting at tables eating and drinking. None of the staff were wearing facemasks. Officers attempted to gain entry to the basement club area, but the door was locked. They asked the manager to unlock it. The manager, who was with another male, feigned surprise and advised the officers “I think the key is with the cleaner and she has gone home”. The manager then denied anyone was in the basement. One of the police officers requested to view the CCTV monitors but the monitor feeds for all the cameras in the basement area were not working. The manager stated that there was “a problem with the CCTV”, despite the fact that the police had witnessed the CCTV working only two hours earlier.

The police officers went to the basement and pushed the basement door open, which opened ajar and was then swiftly slammed shut with people inside the basement holding it shut. The lighting to the whole of the basement area was then shut off. A smartly dressed male came out of the basement and the door was locked behind him. He refused to open the basement door for officers, until the police moved away. The male obstructed officers in the execution of their duties and had to be threatened with arrest.

When the door finally opened, some 47 to 60 patrons in party and evening attire exited the basement area, using threatening language and posturing. The manager was asked to put on the lights for safety reasons but he ignored the request. Most of the patrons were carrying alcoholic drinks. On entry to the basement area the director and licensee of Afrikiko Restaurant & Night Club Ltd, Zeona Ankrah, emerged with a baby. She claimed that she was cleaning despite wearing full make-up and evening dress. Electric shisha pipes were found on the basement tables, in addition to beer bottles and buckets containing bottles of wine.

The sub-committee then viewed body worn video footage. Members could clearly see people dancing on the 15 August 2020. The footage from 26 September 2020 the sub-committee saw the 47+ people filing out from the premises all of whom appeared of typical clubbing age rather the usual variation in age typical for a family gathering. It was note from the various footage that there were also the breaches in terms of SIA, ID scanning.

The officer for trading standards advised the licensing sub-committee that on Friday 4 September 2020, at approximately 20:00, officers from the council’s trading standards, licensing, health and safety and noise teams attended the premises with

two police officers from the night time economy team. The officers were visiting the premises following complains about the basement being used as an unlawful nightclub, contrary to the Public Health (Coronavirus, Restrictions) (No 2) (England) Regulations 2020, and to serve a prohibition notice under the same regulations. Following the prohibition notice being served, lights from the basement and two speaker cables were seized to prevent the premises from re-opening as a nightclub until the law permitted it. When asked, the manager stated that he didn't know how to bring up the requested CCTV footage in breach of condition 288 and 289 of the premises licence.

The legal representative for the premises accepted that he could not hold the premises out to be a good example of a premises compliant with the COVID-19 legislation but the premises did have the following in place: a reduced capacity, hand sanitiser, a temperature control gun, track and trace and a risk assessment.

The representative also made reference to the incident in 2017 that instigated the summary review and said it should not now prejudice the premises. They advised that since the 2017 review, a variation application had been approved in November 2019, when the operating hours had been extended to the current hours, despite objection from the police and licensing as a responsible authority. The premises' position was that a nightclub had not been in operation at the basement of the premises. Since July 2020 the basement had been repurposed, as permitted by the COVID-19 legislation and had been trading as a bar and a "lounge".

Regarding the dates highlighted by the police, the premises contended:

- i. On 31 July 2020 there were only 20 people present and whilst there was music being played, no one was dancing.
- ii. Contrary to the police evidence, there was not a window to the basement area, so no dancing could have been seen be viewed, on 15 August 2020.
- iii. Whilst there was no personal licence holder on the premises 19 August 2020, no sales of alcohol were made.
- iv. It was accepted that on 29 August 2020 someone did say "sit down", but no dancing took place.
- v. The issues with the premises CCTV and producing the footage to the police and council officers had only come to light during the course of the review proceedings. This had now been replaced.
- vi. Concerning 27 September 2020 the premises were closed and a family meeting was taking place in the restaurant on the ground floor, after the manager's son had been stabbed. The family guests present, raised concern that perpetrators of the stabbing were at the door. Because of this, the guests to the premises all made their way to the basement area. No one looked out to see who was on the other side of the door and would chose not to open the door until it was safe to do so. This was the reason for the delay in opening the door to the police. As the premises were closed, there was no security on the door. It was accepted that when the police did enter the premises, were

shisha pipes on the tables were electronic and therefore did not breach the Health Act 2006.

The licensing sub-committee were only too aware that over 44,000 deaths have occurred in the UK as a result of the COVID-19. The requirements in the COVID-19 regulations and guidance were introduced by the government to secure the health and safety of the premises staff, customers and the wider community by reducing the infection to spread.

The premises licence holder of Afrikiko, its management and staff, have demonstrated that they are either unwilling or incapable to abide by the law and implement COVID-secure measures in the premises and between 31 July 2020 and 26 September 2020 operated a prohibited nightclub.

This licensing sub-committee were satisfied that customers have been ordered to stop dancing and to sit down by the management and staff of the premises. CCTV footage was deliberately not provided when requested, to cover up the operation of this prohibited nightclub. The police have been physically and verbally obstructed in the course of their duties by the operators, staff and customers of the premises. The management of the premises clearly did not wish to engage with the police, despite the best efforts of the police. It was also noted that the premises have been found to be in breach of a number of conditions on its premises licence during these visits.

The sub-committee considered the argument put forward by the premises to be unsustainable and that the actions of the premises had been deliberate. On 26 September, the premises were operating well beyond the 22:00 curfew, in spite of extensive national publicity. On this occasion between 47 and 60 persons were barricaded into a basement room, by the management of the premises, with the power, lights and ventilation cut off to avoid police detection and putting members of the public at significant risk. An experienced police officer described the premises on this date as “the most dangerous premises I have ever visited”

Whilst claiming to be fully aware of the COVID-19 regulations and restrictions at the interim hearing on 2 October, the premises flouted the “rule of six”. If the family were so anxious of the perpetrator to the manager’s son stabbing, the alleged family would not have made their way to the basement with their drinks. The alleged family also appear homogenous in nature, appearing to be mainly between 18 and 30 years old.

The representative for the premises urged the sub-committee that the events leading to the 2017 should not prejudice the premises. It is accepted that due to the passage of time, those events have not been taken into account. However, the sub-committee is mindful of the following comments in the decision of the licensing sub-committee of 6 September 2017: “The premises licence holder is expected to fully comply with all of the imposed conditions without fail. If further breaches occur in the future that have the effect of undermining the licensing objectives then the police would be well within their rights to instigate a further review of the premises licence and, without binding the hands of a future licensing sub-committee, it is likely that more severe actions would be taken on that occasion”. This should have

been sufficient warning that the failure to operate compliantly opened them to the very real possibility of the premises licence being revoked.

The licensing sub-committee have no confidence in the premises promoting the licensing objectives and there is no alternative but to revoke the licence.

In reaching this decision the sub-committee had regard to all the relevant considerations and the four licensing objectives and considered that this decision was appropriate, and proportionate.

### **3. Appeal rights**

This decision is open to appeal by either:

- a) The applicant for the review
- b) The premises licence holder
- c) Any other person who made relevant representations in relation to the application

Such appeal must be commenced by notice of appeal given by the appellant to the justices' clerk for the Magistrates' Court for the area within the period of 21 days beginning with the day on which the appellant was notified by this licensing authority of the decision.

This decision does not have effect until either

- a) The end of the period for appealing against this decision; or
- b) In the event of any notice of appeal being given, until the appeal is disposed of.

### **4. Review of interim steps pending appeal**

At the conclusion of the review hearing the licensing sub-committee reviewed the interim steps to determine which interim steps were appropriate for the promotion of the licensing objectives, pursuant to section 53D of the Licensing Act 2003. The sub-committee concluded that these interim steps were appropriate:

- To suspend the premises licence.

The licensing sub-committee were satisfied that these modified interim steps are more appropriate and proportionate to promote the licensing objectives to modify the interim steps, as detailed above

The interim steps are open to appeal by:

- a) The chief officer of police for the police area in which the premises is situated;  
or
- b) The holder of the premises licence

Such appeal must be commenced by notice of appeal given by the appellant to the justices' clerk for the Magistrates Court for the area within the period of 21 days

beginning with the day on which the appellant was notified by this licensing authority of the decision.

Issued by the Constitutional Team on behalf of the Director of Law and Democracy.

Date: 27 October 2020.



The Licensing Unit  
Floor 3  
160 Tooley Street  
London  
SE1 2QH

**Metropolitan Police Service**  
**Licensing Office**  
**Southwark Police Station,**  
**323 Borough High Street,**  
**LONDON,**  
**SE1 1JL**

Tel: 020 7232 6756

Email:

[southwarklicensing@met.police.uk](mailto:southwarklicensing@met.police.uk)

[graham.s.white@met.police.uk](mailto:graham.s.white@met.police.uk)

**Our**                    **MD/21/260/2021**  
**reference:**

**Date:**                    **2<sup>nd</sup> December 2021**

Dear Sir/Madam

**Re:- S & F Private Events (Afrikiko) 871Old Kent Road SE151NX**

Police are in possession of an application from the above to for a new premises licence.

The application is for a Restaurant with Bar with no accommodation limit supplied this could have a potential capacity of 320 persons. The latest terminal hour as per the application is midnight Friday and Saturday.

This premises has been subject of two summary reviews in the past few years, in 2017 a male was murdered outside the venue, the premises were operating in breach of the premises licence at the time.

In 2020 the premises were again subject of a summary review, amongst other issues the premises were continuing to operate in breach of the government Covid regulations. The premises licence was revoked.

The venue is located in a residential area with a large number of residential dwellings in close proximity. Historically there have been a number of complaints from residents with regard to noises and public nuisance.

The applicant has provided some detail within the operating schedule, however they are not detailed or precise enough to promote the licensing objectives. They are not suitable for any type of licensed premises let alone a premises that has the operating history as this one. This leads me to believe the applicant has not considered Southwark's statement of licensing policy 2019-2021.

No information has been supplied regarding the purchase or rental of the premises. I would expect to see a minimum of a copy of the lease prior to any application.

This application should be refused as it fails to address the licensing objectives, in particular the prevention of crime and disorder.

If the committee decide that the application should be approved then I would ask that only a restaurant licence be granted to minimise the impact on the area and to only grant the hours recommended in the licensing policy which is 23.00hrs daily. I would request the following conditions be a minimum.

1. All alcohol off sales shall be sold in sealed containers for consumption away from the premises.
2. That a CCTV system be installed at the premises and be maintained in good working order and be continually recording at all times the premises are in use under the licence. The CCTV System must be capable of capturing a clear facial image of every person who enters the premises
3. All CCTV footage be kept for a period of 31 days and shall on request be made immediately available to officers of the police and the council.
4. A member of staff should be on duty at all times the premises is open that is trained in the use of the CCTV and able to view and download images to a removable device on request of Police or council officer.
5. That all staff are trained in their responsibilities under the licensing act 2003 and training records to be kept and updated every 6 months and shall, upon request, be made immediately available to Officers of the Police and the Council.
6. Intoxicating liquor shall not be sold or supplied on or off the premises otherwise than to persons taking table meals and for consumption by such person as an ancillary to the meal.
7. That there is a maximum of 5 outside smoking at any one time.
8. That there is an accommodation limited \*\*\*\* inside the premises, excluding staff.
9. No vertical drinking shall be allowed in any part of the premises and all alcohol shall be supplied by waiter or waitress service at the table.

Submitted for your consideration.  
Yours Sincerely

**PC Graham White 2288AS**  
Southwark Police Licensing Unit  
Tel: 0207 232 6756