

INDIVIDUAL CABINET MEMBER DECISION-MAKING

RECORD OF DECISION

PART A

DETAILS OF REPORT <i>(Officers to complete this section prior to issuing to cabinet member)</i>	
Title of report	Dulwich Streetspace Review – outcome of experimental trial measures and decision on the next phase of measures
Decision-maker	Cabinet Member for Transport, Parks and Sport
Earliest date when decision can be taken	27 September 2021
Key decision – Yes/No?	Yes
Date published on forward plan	September 2021
Date sent to cabinet member	30 September 2021
Recommendation	<p>RECOMMENDATION(S)</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. That the Cabinet Member for Transport, Parks and Sport approves: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The implementation of the proposals shown in Appendix G subject to statutory requirements. 2. That officers: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Engage with the community to further develop proposals that enhance the public realm at certain modal filter locations together with complementary measures that promote the ‘Streets for People’ initiative. • Consider any revisions and additions to the proposals that can enhance and strengthen the aims and priorities supported in the Review process • Continue to monitor the impact of the recommendations and engage with the community if further modifications are needed.

ORIGINATING AUTHOR'S DETAILS

(Officers to complete this section prior to issuing to cabinet member)

Lead officer	Caroline Bruce, Strategic Director of Environment and Leisure
Report author	Rachel Gates – Project Manager, Transport Projects Clement Agyei-Frempong - Principal Project Manager, Transport Projects
Contact Number	020 7525 2461

PART B

(Cabinet member to complete this section)

DECISION(S)

I agree with the recommendation

REASONS FOR DECISION

As set out in the report

**ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS
CONSIDERED**

As set out in the report

REPRESENTATIONS RECEIVED

REPRESENTATIONS RECEIVED

Over 7,000 responses to the review consultation were received following a 9-week period that concluded in July 2021.

In response to the recommendations that the council made to address the issues raised via the consultation, there was a statutory period for representation to the report that closed on Sept 27th.

1,178 individual representations were received. This also includes separate representations intended for Scrutiny Councillors, but these have been included to provide a complete view of the level of response at this stage.

Note: majority of individual representations included a number of specific concerns. Where appropriate, each individual concern or point has been included to provide a complete view. This is why the total response numbers significantly exceed the actual number of individual representations received.

Summary of Responses

Responses regarding Dulwich Streetspace measures overall

577 non-specific comments/objections, i.e. no specific reason provided for the objection to measures other than its removal

116 supporters of the measures overall (additional support was also received for individual measures, these are set out below)

The 1,178 representations generated 2,179 specific comments/objections to the measures covering a range of concerns.

Individual Interventions and Measures

Calton Avenue/Court Lane/Dulwich Village junction

54 non-specific comments/objections

15 supporters of overall measures

Generated 81 specific comments/objections covering a range of concerns.

Timed closures (general)

17 non-specific comments/objections

5 supporters of overall measures

Generated 37 specific comments/objections covering a range of concerns

Townley Road (timed closure)

0 non-specific objections

6 supporters of overall measures

Generated 22 specific comments/objections covering a range of different concerns

REPRESENTATIONS RECEIVED

Dulwich Village (timed closure)

11 non-specific comments/objections
4 supporters of overall measures

Generated 45 specific comments/objections covering a range of concerns

Melbourne Grove (north)

11 non-specific comments/objections
5 supporters of overall measures

Generated 40 specific comments/objections covering a range of concerns

Melbourne Grove (south)

89 non-specific comments/objections, predominantly objecting to the changes to the existing trial arrangement of a 24/7 closure.
5 supporters of overall measures

199 specific comments/objections covering a range of concerns

Turney Road/Burbage Road (timed closures)

9 non-specific comments/objections
3 supporters of overall measures
40 specific comments/objections covering a range of concerns

Derwent Grove (change to camera filter)

2 non-specific comments/objections
7 specific comments/objections covering a range of concerns

Champion Hill (retaining closure)

6 non-specific comments/objections
1 supporters of overall measures
5 specific comments/objections covering a range of concerns

Gilkes Place/Gilkes Crescent

4 representations received but no decision on current measures to be made

Ruskin Walk/Hollingbourne Road

98 representations received but no decision on current measures to be made

ADDITIONAL ADVICE RECEIVED

There are no representations received that have not been addressed in the main report and appendices. The majority of representations received are non-specific with respect to location.

With respect to specific proposals, the proposed Melbourne Grove (South) scheme for partial reopening based on school street hours, received the highest number of representations. Alternatives to the reopening of this currently 24/7 closure can be considered during the Traffic Management Order process.

Gilkes Place/Gilkes Crescent to be considered separately based on outcome of overall proposals and future monitoring.

Ruskin Walk/Hollingbourne Road to be subject to separate consultation exercise on future of current one way system.

Further engagement with the community and stakeholders continues in support of the advice received in relation to the points set out above. There will be a three week TMO consultation period commencing from Oct 14th, subject to any Scrutiny call-in.

ANY INTERESTS DECLARED

Note: If the decision-maker has a disclosable pecuniary interest in the matter the report must be referred to the full cabinet for decision.

Where a cabinet member may discharge a function alone and becomes aware of a disclosable pecuniary interest in a matter being dealt with or to be dealt with by her/him, the cabinet member must notify the monitoring officer of the interest within 28 days and must not take any steps or further steps in the matter.

If a member is unsure as to whether an interest is a disclosable pecuniary interest they should contact the governance team for advice.

DECLARATION

I approve/~~reject~~ the recommendations set out in the report.*


~~or~~

~~I approved an alternative course of action set out in Part B.*~~

~~or~~

~~I have referred this matter to the Full Cabinet for decision.*~~

~~(* - Please delete as appropriate)~~



Signed...

Dated...01/10/21

Cabinet Member

Please return completed hard copy of the form to Constitutional Team, 160 Tooley Street, PO BOX 64529, London, SE1P 5LX – tel: 020 7525 7225 fax: 020 7525 7284.

Seeking advice

You should seek advice from the relevant officer on a number of occasions:

- (a) If you wish to consider alternative options
- (b) If you are considering rejecting the proposals

Otherwise it is at your discretion when you should seek further advice and you should do so when you consider it appropriate.