

Local Public Service Agreement Between the London Borough of Southwark and the Government

Schedule 1: Performance Targets

Summary Table

Target	Heading	Page No
1.	Reducing antisocial behaviour	2
2.	Improving the health of Children	4
3.	Tackling domestic violence	6
4.	Improving the fitness of children	8
5.	Tackling overweight & obesity in children	10
6.	Improving the health of adults	15
7.	Work readiness - Increased number of 16 year olds completing vocational qualifications at levels 1 & 2	16
8.	Improving English skills - maintaining & improving progress across transition	18
9.	Reducing homelessness of young people	21
10.	Cleanliness of streets and land	22
11.	Improving the quality of the local environment	23
12.	Increasing the number of apprenticeships and trainees offered in the Council	25

Target 1 - Reduce Anti-Social Behaviour	
Indicator(s) by which performance will be measured	
i.	<p>Reduce concern with Anti-Social Behaviour</p> <p>Response to question below from the MORI Residents' Survey (of at least 1000 interviews): 'I am going to read out a list of things that can cause problems for people in their area. I would like you to tell me whether each of them is a problem in this area?'</p> <p>Possible responses;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A serious problem in this area • A problem in this area, but not serious • Or not a problem in area • Don't know <p>The indicator will be the percentage who identified one or more of the following responses as a serious problem in the area:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Dog nuisance / mess • Litter / Rubbish • Problems with neighbours • Abandoned / burnt out cars • Vandalism & Graffiti • Drug dealing and use • Property being set on fire
ii.	<p>Increase the number of young people (under the age of 25) referred into the following intervention programmes, as a result of Anti-Social Behaviour:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Anti Social Behaviour Orders Obtained • Acceptable Behaviour Contracts signed • Referral to Youth Offending Team • Referrals to Youth Inclusion & Support Panel • Referrals to family group conferencing
Current Performance	
i.	<p>Baseline to be established in 2006 MORI Residents Survey</p> <p>For information and to inform the discussion on targets the following results are from the NDC Surveys:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Aylesbury NDC - 65% mentioned any one of the issues as a serious problem • Aggregate NDC figure - 61%
ii.	<p>57 referrals into intervention programmes in 2004/05</p> <p>For information the breakdown was:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Anti Social Behaviour Orders Obtained - 10 • Acceptable Behaviour Contracts signed - 19 • Referral to Youth Offending Team - 27 • Referrals to Youth Inclusion & Support Panel - 1 • Referrals to family group conferencing - 0 <p>(These referrals relate to 30 young people under the age of 25)</p>

Performance at the end of the period of the Local PSA (normally after three years)	
Performance expected <u>without</u> the Local PSA	
i.	No change from the baseline in the 2008 MORI Residents Survey
ii.	66 referrals into intervention programmes in 2007/08 (For info annual breakdowns below show how this figure was derived: 5% annual increase – 60 2005/06 5% annual increase – 63 2006/07 5% annual increase – 66 2007/08)
Performance target <u>with</u> the Local PSA	
i.	A reduction of 6% of the baseline in 2008 MORI Residents Survey
ii.	98 referrals into intervention programmes in 2007/08 (For info annual breakdowns below show how this figure was derived: 20% annual increase – 68 2005/06 20% annual increase – 82 2006/07 20% annual increase – 98 2007/08)
Enhancement in performance with the Local PSA	
i.	6% of the baseline
ii.	32 (15% enhancement year on year.)
Any special conditions	

Target 2 - Improving the Health of Children	
Indicator(s) by which performance will be measured	
i.	Increase the percentage of schools meeting the 'Healthy School Status' Target excludes Nursery Schools and PRUs
ii.	Reduce the illegal sales of tobacco to young people; measured by proportion of successful test purchases (i.e. proportion of non-sales by a young person)
Current Performance	
i.	8.4% of Schools had reached Level 3 of the Healthy School Standard as at the end of Dec 2004 (8 out of 95 schools) Please note that these schools will have to be re-assessed to meet the more demanding Healthy School Status.
ii.	30% of attempted sales resulted in a sale of tobacco over the summer 2005 10 sales from 33 attempts: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Sales attempted from vending machines - 11 attempted sales resulted in 8 sales of tobacco. This gives a rate of sale of 73% (3 vending machines were empty so no sale could be made, these are not included in the above figures). • Sales attempted from retail tobacconists - Attempted sales from 22 tobacconists resulted in 2 sales. This gives a rate of 9% and in line with the previous trend data.
Performance at the end of the period of the Local PSA (normally after three years)	
Performance expected <u>without</u> the Local PSA	
i.	60% of Schools will have achieved Healthy School Status as at the end of Dec 2008 This position is slightly below the national guideline for PSAs, due to Southwark's low baseline and Red RAG Rating on the Healthy Schools evaluation. This level of performance would also be extremely demanding due to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Levels of deprivation (96% of schools are 20% above FSME) • Southwark's main priority is to ensure schools are improving SATs scores, and the Healthy Schools target must a) complement this and b) not take away from the main focus. • Due to the past history of difficult relationships with schools and the intervention, we have further to go to reach this target
ii.	30% of attempted sales will result in a sale of tobacco over the summer 2008 With little additional enforcement action the results would at best remain the same and at worst increase.
Performance target <u>with</u> the Local PSA	
i.	78.75% of Schools will have achieved Healthy School Status as at the end of Dec 2008

ii.	20% of attempted sales will result in a sale of tobacco over the summer 2008
Enhancement in performance with the Local PSA	
i.	18.75%
ii.	10% (This represents a reduction in sales of 33%)
Any special conditions	
The PRG would be split: 75% on sub-target i 25% on sub-target ii	
To ensure consistency with the baseline for the second sub-target: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Given the difference in sales rates between vending machines and retail tobacconists, test sales from vending machines will represent between 30-35% of the test sales in the Summer 2008 sample. • The test sales for the 2008 outturn will also take place over the summer. 	

Target 3 - Tackling Domestic Violence	
Indicator(s) by which performance will be measured	
i.	Increase in those cautioned, summonsed or charged as a percentage of incidents reported to the police (sanctioned detection rate).
ii.	Increase in number of successful prosecutions.
Current Performance	
i.	18% in 2004/05 3031 incidents reported to the police 550 sanctioned detections
ii.	Number of successful prosecutions of cases that were brought before the court (charged or summonsed) in 2004/05. Baseline to be determined as the number successfully prosecuted as at 31 st March 2006 For information as of 22 nd November 2005 of 455 cases which were brought before the court (charged or summonsed) in 2004/05: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 115 Convictions • 97 cases still live – Most of which will be completed by March 2006. Any which are not will be treated as not successfully prosecuted for the purpose of the PSA target. • 124 were not complete records (a sample of 20 showed a conviction rate of 35% for these cases). The manual search for these records will be completed by the end of March and will be included in the baseline. The outturn for 2007/08 will not include any incomplete records, as they will be monitored throughout the case. • 119 cases withdrawn or dismissed
Performance at the end of the period of the Local PSA (normally after three years)	
Performance expected <u>without</u> the Local PSA	
i.	27% in 2007/08
ii.	No Change from baseline - Number of successful prosecutions, of cases that were brought before the court (charged or summonsed) in 2007/08. Determined as the number successfully prosecuted as at 31 st March 2009
Performance target <u>with</u> the Local PSA	
i.	30% in 2007/08
ii.	24 higher than baseline - Number of successful prosecutions, of cases that were brought before the court (charged or summonsed) in 2007/08. Determined as the number successfully prosecuted as at 31 st March 2009
Enhancement in performance with the Local PSA	
i.	3%

ii. 24 additional successful prosecutions
Any special conditions

Target 4 - Improving the Fitness of Children
Indicator(s) by which performance will be measured
Increase the number of 5-16 year olds participating in an average of 2 hours or more of high quality PE and school sport a week within and outside the curriculum during the school year (as measured by the PESSCL survey).
Current Performance
<p>56% Estimated baseline for all pupils (17,744 of the 31,636 pupils) in 2004/05</p> <p>Baseline composed as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 61.3% - Actual results from the 32 primary schools currently within our 2 School Sport Partnerships (SSP) using 'DfES PE, School Sport and Club Links Survey' 2004/05 (5,070 of the 8,277 pupils) • 74.8% - Actual results from the 8 secondary schools currently within our 2 School Sport Partnerships (SSP) using 'DfES PE, School Sport and Club Links Survey' 2004/05 (4,674 of the 6,250 pupils) • 36.4% - For the remaining 36 primary schools (currently not in a SSP) it is estimated that approximately 4,000 of the 11,000 pupils would have participated for 2 hours or more. • 65.5 - For the remaining 7 secondary schools (currently not in a SSP) it is estimated that approximately 4,000 of the 6,109 pupils would have participated for 2 hours or more.
Performance at the end of the period of the Local PSA (normally after three years)
Performance expected <u>without</u> the Local PSA
<p>70% of all pupils from 2007/08 PESSCL survey</p> <p>For information this assumes all schools will have joined a SSP and that the approximate participation rates below are achieved:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 85% of the pupils in primary schools already within existing SSPs • 85% of the pupils in secondary schools already within existing SSPs • 50% of the pupils in primary schools which will join SSPs during the PSA • 71% of the pupils in secondary schools which will join SSPs during the PSA
Performance target <u>with</u> the Local PSA
<p>77% of all pupils from 2007/08 PESSCL survey</p> <p>For information this assumes all schools will have joined a SSP and that the approximate participation rates below are achieved:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 90% of the pupils in primary schools already within existing SSPs • 90% of the pupils in secondary schools already within existing SSPs • 61% of the pupils in primary schools which will join SSPs during the PSA • 76% of the pupils in secondary schools which will join SSPs during the PSA

Enhancement in performance with the Local PSA
7%
Any special conditions

Target 5 – Tackling Overweight & Obesity in Children	
Indicator(s) by which performance will be measured	
i.	Aggregate net change in Disability Adjusted Life Years (DALYs) expected from the changes in Adult Equivalent Body Mass Index (BMI) over a period of not less than 12 months ¹ of specified people during the specified period.
ii.	Average net change in waist circumference over a period of not less than 12 months ¹ of specified people during the specified period.
Current Performance	
i.	No change in DALYs
ii.	No change in waist circumference
Performance at the end of the period of the Local PSA (normally after three years)	
Performance expected <u>without</u> the Local PSA	
i.	No change in DALYs
ii.	No change in waist circumference
Performance target <u>with</u> the Local PSA	
i.	Increase of 52 DALYs
ii.	Average decrease of 2cm
Enhancement in performance with the Local PSA	
i.	An additional 52 DALYs
ii.	An average 2cm reduction
Any special conditions	
The PRG would be split: 60% on sub-target i 40% on sub-target ii	

¹ The interval of 12 months relates to the measurements for any individual specified person. So long as all measurements take place within the specified period, they do not need to take place at the same time, or over the same period, for every individual.

Definitions

"Disability Adjusted Life Years (DALYs)" means the measure of life-expectancy determined from adult-equivalent BMI as specified in Table 2 appended.

"Body Mass Index" means the person's weight in kilograms, divided by the square of the person's height in metres.

"Adult Equivalent Body Mass Index" means –

- for people aged 18 or older, their Body Mass Index; and
- for people aged less than 18, their Body Mass Index converted into its Adult Equivalent Body Mass Index using the multipliers listed in the Department of Health's 'Childhood Obesity Tables' (Table 1 appended)

The "specified people" are [overweight or obese]² children aged 5 to 17 when their BMI is first measured during the specified period following their referral to a weight-reduction programme.

The "specified period" is the period from 1 November 2005 to 30 April 2008.

² The BMI for each age and gender that corresponds to ["overweight"/"obese"] is defined in Table 1. Children that fall within this category *solely* because they are of athletic build (as specified in Table 3) are *excluded* from "specified people".

TABLE 1**(a) BMI of children: thresholds indicating "overweight" and "obese"****(b) Multipliers to convert children's BMIs into adult-equivalent BMIs**

Age (years) at least equal to age below, but less than next higher age	Boys				Girls			
	Overweight but not obese (BMI greater than figure below but less than BMI indicating obesity)		Obese (BMI greater than figure below)		Overweight but not obese (BMI greater than figure below but less than BMI indicating obesity)		Obese (BMI greater than figure below)	
	Overweight Threshold	<i>Multiply BMI at this age by figure below to get adult equivalent BMI</i>	Obesity Threshold	<i>Multiply BMI at this age by figure below to get adult equivalent BMI</i>	Overweight Threshold	<i>Multiply BMI at this age by figure below to get adult equivalent BMI</i>	Obesity Threshold	<i>Multiply BMI at this age by figure below to get adult equivalent BMI</i>
<i>column >></i>	<i>(1a)</i>	<i>(1b)</i>	<i>(2a)</i>	<i>(2b)</i>	<i>(3a)</i>	<i>(3b)</i>	<i>(4a)</i>	<i>(4b)</i>
2	18.41	1.358	20.09	1.493	18.02	1.387	19.81	1.514
2.5	18.13	1.379	19.80	1.515	17.76	1.408	19.55	1.535
3	17.89	1.397	19.57	1.533	17.56	1.424	19.36	1.550
3.5	17.69	1.413	19.39	1.547	17.40	1.437	19.23	1.560
4	17.55	1.425	19.29	1.555	17.28	1.447	19.15	1.567
4.5	17.47	1.431	19.26	1.558	17.19	1.454	19.12	1.569
5	17.42	1.435	19.30	1.554	17.15	1.458	19.17	1.565
5.5	17.45	1.433	19.47	1.541	17.20	1.453	19.34	1.551
6	17.55	1.425	19.78	1.517	17.34	1.442	19.65	1.527
6.5	17.71	1.412	20.23	1.483	17.53	1.426	20.08	1.494
7	17.92	1.395	20.63	1.454	17.75	1.408	20.51	1.463
7.5	18.16	1.377	21.09	1.422	18.03	1.387	21.01	1.428
8	18.44	1.356	21.60	1.389	18.35	1.362	21.60	1.389
8.5	18.76	1.333	22.17	1.353	18.69	1.338	22.17	1.353
9	19.10	1.309	22.77	1.318	19.07	1.311	22.77	1.318
9.5	19.46	1.285	23.39	1.283	19.45	1.285	23.39	1.283
10	19.84	1.260	24.00	1.250	19.86	1.259	24.00	1.250
10.5	20.20	1.238	24.57	1.221	20.29	1.232	24.57	1.221
11	20.55	1.217	25.10	1.195	20.74	1.205	25.40	1.181
11.5	20.89	1.197	25.58	1.173	21.20	1.179	26.10	1.149
12	21.22	1.178	26.02	1.153	21.68	1.153	26.70	1.124
12.5	21.56	1.160	26.43	1.135	22.14	1.129	27.20	1.103
13	21.91	1.141	26.84	1.118	22.58	1.107	27.80	1.079
13.5	22.27	1.123	27.25	1.101	22.98	1.088	28.2	1.064
14	22.66	1.103	27.63	1.086	23.34	1.071	28.57	1.050
14.5	22.96	1.089	27.98	1.072	23.66	1.057	28.87	1.039
15	23.29	1.073	28.30	1.060	23.94	1.044	29.11	1.031
15.5	23.60	1.059	28.60	1.049	24.17	1.034	29.29	1.024
16	23.90	1.046	28.88	1.039	24.37	1.026	29.43	1.019
16.5	24.19	1.033	29.14	1.030	24.50	1.020	29.56	1.015
17	24.46	1.022	29.41	1.020	24.7	1.012	29.69	1.010
17.5	24.73	1.011	29.70	1.010	24.85	1.006	29.84	1.005
18 & over	25	1.000	30	1.000	25	1.000	30	1.000

Source, BMI thresholds: "Establishing a standard definition for child overweight and obesity worldwide: international survey"

Multiplier to obtain adult-equivalent BMI: BMI threshold for adults divided by the BMI threshold for a child of that age.

TABLE 2
Disability Adjusted Life Years (DALYs)
 Expectations for use in local PSAs

Portion of change in adult equivalent BMI that falls within the range specified	Change in DALYs for a change of <u>one</u> adult equivalent BMI
less than 24	nil
between 24 and 31	0.374
between 31 and 36	0.668
more than 36	0.788

Reductions in BMI increase DALYs, and vice versa.

For changes smaller than one adult equivalent BMI within a range, the corresponding change in DALYs is the corresponding proportion³.

Definition

Disability Adjusted Life Years (DALYs) gained = Life Years Gained (life expectancy) + Disability Life Years Gained (Life Year Equivalents lost due to reduced quality of life from illness)

Sources

1. "Years of Life Lost to Obesity", improvements in life-expectancy have been extrapolated from this.
2. Health Costs of Obesity in Victoria, Australia, DALY worksheets: Burden of Disease - Victorian Government Health Information, Australia, <http://www.health.vic.gov.au/healthstatus/bod/daly.htm>, Obesity Risk Factor Worksheet [V Obesity.xls], Cause Specific Sheet, Attributable burden of obesity - all causes [Rows 125-129] Improvements in DALYs have been extrapolated from this.

³ For example, a reduction from an adult equivalent BMI of 32 to 29.8 would indicate an increase in DALYs of 1.1168 (0.668 for 32 to 31, plus 0.374 for 31 to 30, plus 20% of 0.374 for 30 to 29.8).

TABLE 3**Waist measurement thresholds for overweight.****For use in checking children of 'athletic build' do not get inappropriately referred to programmes**

Age (years) at least equal to age below, but less than next higher age	Boys	Girls
3	54.5	54
4	55.5	55
5	56.5	56
6	57.5	57
7	59	59
8	61	61
9	63	62.5
10	65.5	64
11	68	66
12	71	68
13	74	69.5
14	76.5	71
15	79	72
16	81.5	73
17	84	73.5

Source: The development of waist circumference percentiles in British children aged 5-16.9 years (McCathy HD et al) European Journal of Clinical Nutrition (2001: 55: 902-907)

Target 6 - Improving the Health of Adults	
Indicator(s) by which performance will be measured	
i.	Number of individuals detected as hypertensive as demonstrated on general practice hypertension registers ⁴
ii.	Number of detected hypertensive individuals having their condition managed ⁵
Current Performance	
i.	Current registered practice population of hypertensives is 24,055 (8.5% detected prevalence rate).
ii.	14, 459 (64.5%) of these individuals have their hypertension managed.
Performance at the end of the period of the Local PSA (normally after three years)	
Performance expected <u>without</u> the Local PSA	
i.	33,907 (12% prevalence rate)
ii.	23,735 (70% of those detected adequately managed)
Performance target <u>with</u> the Local PSA	
i.	42,384 (15% prevalence rate)
ii.	31,788 (75% of those detected adequately managed)
Enhancement in performance with the Local PSA	
i.	8,477
ii.	8,053
Any special conditions	
Performance Reward Grant split 50/50 between indicators i) and ii).	

⁴ Blood pressure of above 140/90

⁵ Blood pressure below 150/90

Target 7 - Work Readiness - Increased Number of 16 Year Olds Completing Vocational Qualifications at Levels 1 and 2
Indicator(s) by which performance will be measured
<p>The number of Southwark's Year 11 students who have completed vocational qualifications at levels 1 and 2.</p> <p>Target group – Year 10 and 11 students in Southwark schools Target area – borough wide</p>
Current Performance
<p>1.3% of Year 11 pupils at end of academic year 2003/04</p> <p>At the end of the academic year 2003/04 - 34 Year 11 students had gained NVQ level 1 out of a possible 40. None achieved level 2.</p> <p>The Year 11 cohort for the borough in 2003/04 was 2598 students which means that 1.3% gained this industrial standard qualification and 1.54% were offered the chance to qualify.</p>
Performance at the end of the period of the Local PSA (normally after three years)
Performance expected <u>without</u> the Local PSA
<p>Average of 1.3% of Year 11 pupils at end of academic years 2006/07 & 2007/08 (Approximately 68 pupils in total)</p> <p>(Approximate breakdown:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 1.3% academic year 2006/07 – Approximately 34 pupils • 1.3% academic year 2007/08 – Approximately 34 pupils) <p>It is likely that without the lever of the PSA, performance will remain static. Industry standard qualifications remain expensive for schools and with the advent of vocational diplomas we need to move this agenda forward immediately for the benefit of Southwark's students</p>
Performance target <u>with</u> the Local PSA
<p>Average of 5% of Year 11 pupils at end of academic years 2006/07 & 2007/088 (Approximately 260 pupils in total)</p> <p>(Approximate breakdown:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 2.5% academic year 2006/07 – Approximately 65 pupils • 7.5% academic year 2007/08 – Approximately 195 pupils) <p>With the lever of the PSA we would expect 7.5% of Southwark's Year 11 students to have gained an industrial standard qualification by the end of the academic year 2007/8</p>
Enhancement in performance with the Local PSA
<p>Average enhancement of 3.7% of Year 11 pupils at end of academic years 2006/07 & 2007/08 (Approximately 192 pupils in total)</p>

(Approximate breakdown:

- 1.2% academic year 2006/07 – Approximately 31 pupils
- 6.2% academic year 2007/08 – Approximately 161 pupils)

Any special conditions

Would include a condition that PRG would be dependant on Level 1 & 2 performance outside of this target not deteriorating.

Target 8 - Improving English Skills – Maintaining & Improving Progress Across Transition
Indicator(s) by which performance will be measured
Improved performance at KS3 over KS2 results in English for those children who had recently arrived from overseas and whose results were discounted at KS2 from performance tables:
i. An increased percentage to reach Level 4+ at end KS3
ii. An increased percentage to reach national expectation of Level 5+ at end KS3
Improved performance at KS3 for Somali and Turkish Cypriot pupils: (The Somali and Turkish Cypriot pupils are combined for the purposes of targets iii & iv due to the statistical issues of cohorts with low numbers)
iii. An increased percentage to reach Level 4+ at end KS3
iv. An increased percentage to reach national expectation of Level 5+ at end KS3
Current Performance
i. 80% achieved Level 4+ in English in 2005 at Key Stage 3 Based on a cohort of 25 pupils remaining in Southwark Schools from the 42 overseas pupils in Southwark schools at KS2 in 2002.
ii. 56% achieved Level 5+ in English in 2005 at Key Stage 3 Based on a cohort of 25 pupils remaining in Southwark Schools from the 42 overseas pupils in Southwark schools at KS2 in 2002.
iii. 49% achieved Level 4+ in English in 2005 at Key Stage 3 Based on a cohort of 41 pupils
iv. 32% achieved Level 5+ in English in 2005 at Key Stage 3 Based on a cohort of 41 pupils
Performance at the end of the period of the Local PSA (normally after three years)
Performance expected <u>without</u> the Local PSA
i. Average of 82.5% achieve Level 4+ in English in 2007 and 2008 at Key Stage 3 (For information the approximate breakdown is: 83% achieve Level 4+ in 2008 82% achieve Level 4+ in 2007) Estimated cohorts of approximately 100 pupils in each year group ⁶

⁶ The 2008 cohort will be:

a. All those discounted from KS2 performance tables in 2005 remaining in Southwark Schools
Southwark Council - January 2006 Draft

ii.	<p>Average of 58.5% achieve Level 5+ in English in 2007 and 2008 at Key Stage 3</p> <p>(For information the approximate breakdown is: 59% achieve Level 5+ in 2008 58% achieve Level 5+ in 2007)</p> <p>Estimated cohorts of approximately 100 pupils in each year group¹</p>
iii.	<p>Average of 51.5% achieve Level 4+ in English in 2007 and 2008 at Key Stage 3</p> <p>(For information the approximate breakdown is: 52% achieve Level 4+ in 2008 51% achieve Level 4+ in 2007)</p>
iv.	<p>Average of 34.5% achieve Level 5+ in English in 2007 and 2008 at Key Stage 3</p> <p>(For information the approximate breakdown is: 35% achieve Level 5+ in 2008 34% achieve Level 5+ in 2007)</p>
Performance target <u>with</u> the Local PSA	
i.	<p>Average of 89% achieve Level 4+ in English in 2007 and 2008 at Key Stage 3</p> <p>(For information the approximate breakdown is: 91% achieve Level 4+ in 2008 87% achieve Level 4+ in 2007)</p> <p>Estimated cohorts of approximately 100 pupils in each year group¹</p>
ii.	<p>Average of 65% achieve Level 5+ in English in 2007 and 2008 at Key Stage 3</p> <p>(For information the approximate breakdown is: 67% achieve Level 5+ in 2008 63% achieve Level 5+ in 2007)</p> <p>Estimated cohorts of approximately 100 pupils in each year group¹</p>
iii.	<p>Average of 58% achieve Level 4+ in English in 2007 and 2008 at Key Stage 3</p> <p>(For information the approximate breakdown is: 60% achieve Level 4+ in 2008 56% achieve Level 4+ in 2007)</p>
iv.	<p>Average of 41% achieve Level 5+ in English in 2007 and 2008 at Key Stage 3</p>

- b. All those, from other LEAs, discounted from performance tables KS2 in 2005 transferring to Southwark Schools in 2005/06
- c. New arrivals who are EAL and recently arrived from overseas who join Southwark schools in Year 7 during 2005/06

The 2007 cohort will be:

- d. All those discounted from KS2 performance tables in 2004 remaining in Southwark Schools
- e. All those, from other LEAs, discounted from performance tables KS2 in 2004 transferring to Southwark Schools in 2004/05
- f. New arrivals who are EAL and recently arrived from overseas who join Southwark schools in Year 7 during 2004/05

Southwark Council - January 2006 Draft

(For information the approximate breakdown is:
43% achieve Level 5+ in 2008
39% achieve Level 5+ in 2007)

Enhancement in performance with the Local PSA

i. Average enhancement of 6.5%

(For information the approximate breakdown is:
8% enhancement at Level 4+ in 2008
5% enhancement at Level 4+ in 2007)

ii. Average enhancement of 6.5%

(For information the approximate breakdown is:
8% enhancement at Level 5+ in 2008
5% enhancement at Level 5+ in 2007)

iii. Average enhancement of 6.5%

(For information the approximate breakdown is:
8% enhancement at Level 4+ in 2008
5% enhancement at Level 4+ in 2007)

iv. Average enhancement of 6.5%

(For information the approximate breakdown is:
8% enhancement at Level 5+ in 2008
5% enhancement at Level 5+ in 2007)

Any special conditions

Target 9 - Reducing Homelessness of Young People
Indicator(s) by which performance will be measured
Reduce the number of young people (aged 16/17) spending more than 6 weeks in Bed and Breakfast (including self contained and non-self contained annexes).
Current Performance
115 occasions when young people spent more than 6 consecutive weeks in B&B in 2004/05 (Figure includes all stays of more than 42 consecutive nights in B&B. Individuals who present more than once in the year and have more than 1 stay of more than 6 weeks will appear more than once in the above figure.)
Performance at the end of the period of the Local PSA (normally after three years)
Performance expected <u>without</u> the Local PSA
92 occasions when young people spend more than 6 consecutive weeks in B&B in 2007/08 In previous years the numbers of young people in B&B in the course of the year have risen by 20% approximately each year. We expect this to reduce in the current year as a result of other interventions through the Supporting People programme. We therefore project that the number of occasions when young people spend more than 6 consecutive weeks in B&B in 2007/08 would decrease to 92 from last year's figure. The PSA prevention methods will accelerate the rate of decrease in youth homelessness, as they are designed to stop young people entering the social care system. They should also free up SP bedspaces in hostels that are currently being earmarked for vulnerable young people.
Performance target <u>with</u> the Local PSA
0 occasions when young people spend more than 6 consecutive weeks in B&B in 2007/08
Enhancement in performance with the Local PSA
Reduction of 92 occasions when young people spend more than 6 consecutive weeks in B&B
Any special conditions

Target 10 - Cleanliness of Streets and Land
Indicator(s) by which performance will be measured
BVPI 199 – Percentage of transects measured in terms of litter and detritus that fall below grade B. [2003/04 Methodology]
Current Performance
BVPI199 out turn for 2003/04 – 34% [Please note from 2005/06 this now relates to BVPI 199a]
Performance at the end of the period of the Local PSA (normally after three years)
Performance expected <u>without</u> the Local PSA
22% - 2007/08
Performance target <u>with</u> the Local PSA
19% - 2007/08
Enhancement in performance with the Local PSA
3%
Any special conditions

Target 11 - Improving the Quality of the Local Environment	
Indicator(s) by which performance will be measured	
i.	<p>A reduction in the number of fly tipping incidences</p> <p>Calculation Method: In 2004/05 defra introduced a database to capture all fly tipping incidences. The database, fly-capture, is populated by the Council and figures submitted to defra on a monthly basis. It is a statutory requirement that all fly tipping incidences are entered onto the database and the definition of a fly tipping incidences is given.</p>
ii.	<p>Abandoned Vehicles - A reduction in the removal times for all nuisance vehicles</p> <p>Calculation Method: In 2003/04 the ALG, introduced a database to capture all Abandoned Vehicles. A new database for Operation Scrap-it has recently been introduced and is up dated by the ALG, with figures submitted by the council on a monthly basis. It is a statutory requirement that all Nuisance vehicle (untaxed /abandoned) reports and destructions are entered onto the database.</p>
iii.	<p>Increase usage of Parks as a percentage of residents as measured by bi-annual Residents Survey</p> <p>Response for Parks and Open spaces on Q29 on the Residents' survey (1,128 respondents): 'Which, if any, of these services do you or members of your household use or benefit from?'</p>
iv.	<p>Increase usage of Parks by individuals from under-represented groups as measured by bi-annual Residents Survey</p> <p>Response for Parks and Open spaces on Q29 on the Residents' survey broken down to show under-represented groups (553 respondents): 'Which, if any, of these services do you or members of your household use or benefit from?'</p>
Current Performance	
i.	26,175 incidences - 2004/05 fly capture returns
ii.	The removal time in year 2003-04 was 156 hours (6.5 days)
iii.	<p>Response for Parks and Open spaces in 2004 was 59% on Q29 on the Residents' survey (1,128 respondents): 'Which, if any, of these services do you or members of your household use or benefit from?'</p>
iv.	<p>Response from under-represented groups on Parks and Open spaces in 2004 was 49% on Q29 on the Residents' survey (553 respondents): 'Which, if any, of these services do you or members of your household use or benefit from?'</p> <p>For information the breakdown of the under-represented groups is below: Black African - 48% Black Carribean - 48% Social class DE - 48% Disabled - 48%</p>

Performance at the end of the period of the Local PSA (normally after three years)	
Performance expected <u>without</u> the Local PSA	
i.	25,398 2007/08 (For info annual breakdowns below show how this figure was derived: 1% reduction – 25,913 2005/06 1% reduction – 25,654 2006/07 1% reduction – 25,398 2007/08)
ii.	The removal time in year 2007-08 will be 60 Hours
iii.	Response for Parks and Open spaces in 2008 would be 63%
iv.	Response for Parks and Open spaces in 2008 would be 52%
Performance target <u>with</u> the Local PSA	
i.	24,635 2007/08 (For info annual breakdowns below show how this figure was derived: 2% reduction – 25,652 2005/06 2% reduction – 25,138 2006/07 2% reduction – 24,635 2007/08)
ii.	The removal time in year 2007-08 will be 48 Hours
iii.	Response for Parks and Open spaces in 2008 would be 66%
iv.	Response for Parks and Open spaces in 2008 would be 59%
Enhancement in performance with the Local PSA	
i.	763 in year 2007/08 1% enhancement year on year. Total enhancement 763 incidences of fly tipping in year 2007/08
ii.	12 hours
iii.	3%
iv.	7%
Any special conditions	

Target 12 - Increase the Number of Apprenticeships and Trainees Offered in the Council
Indicator(s) by which performance will be measured
Increase the number of apprentices and trainees, employed by the Council, successfully achieving qualifications or interim progress towards final qualifications. Target group 16 to 24 year olds.
Current Performance
All departments were contacted and asked to provide details of current apprenticeships and trainees for 2004/05. Final tally was: 7 apprentices 5 trainees Awaiting DfES model for measure of successful progress.
Performance at the end of the period of the Local PSA (normally after three years) 2007/2008
Performance expected <u>without</u> the Local PSA
12 apprentices & trainees
Performance target <u>with</u> the Local PSA
45 apprentices & trainees in year 3 working for Southwark Council and completing or making satisfactory progress towards their qualification. (Based on an intake of 20 apprentices & trainees each year for the 3 years and assuming a 2 or 3 year NVQ or other defined course, with a success rate of 75%.)
Enhancement in performance with the Local PSA
An increase of 33 apprentices & trainees.
Any special conditions
The qualifications which would count for this target are: NVQ Level 2 NVQ Level 3 NVQ Level 4 NVQ Level 5 Batchelor Degree Post Graduate Certificate Post Graduate Diploma Post Graduate Degree

Schedule 2: Freedoms and Flexibilities

In support of target 9

Change 1 - We would request NVQ equivalent (but not NVQ accredited) courses also to be considered under the PSA as they come on to the section 96 list (approved qualifications for pre 16's). This will allow us to consider new NVQ equivalent courses that appear during the three year PSA and also additional courses that we might not be aware of now.

SCHEDULE 3: PUMP PRIMING GRANT IN SUPPORT OF INVEST TO SAVE OR INVEST TO IMPROVE PROJECTS

To assist in achieving the targets set out in this Agreement, the Government will make a pump priming grant of £1,004,700 to Southwark Council as a contribution towards expenditure of an “invest to save” or “invest to improve” nature. This grant will be paid no later than the financial year end 2005/2006.

The grant is intended to assist the authority in achieving the targets set out in the agreement. It must be spent, during the period of this Local PSA, or as otherwise agreed with the Government. Conditions protecting the proper use of public funds will apply.

SCHEDULE 4: PERFORMANCE REWARD GRANT

The provisions of this Schedule are subject to any additional provisions on the performance reward grant elsewhere in the Agreement.

The total potential grant

The total potential grant is equivalent to 2.5% of the authority's net budget requirement for 2004/2005. It is divided equally among the targets except where specified otherwise. Where a target has sub-targets, the amount for the target is sub-divided equally among the sub-targets unless otherwise specified. The relevant net budget requirement for the London Borough of Southwark is £407.461m. Total grant if all the targets were fully achieved would be £10,186,525.

The reward for achievement on a target

The proportion of the potential grant attributed to a target or sub-target that is payable is the same as the proportion of the 'enhancement in performance with the Local PSA' specified in Schedule 1 that the authority achieves, subject to a maximum proportion of 100% and a minimum of 60%. If the authority achieves less than 60% of that enhancement in performance, nothing is included in the grant in relation to that target or sub-target.

Payment of the grant

Main grant

The grant will be paid in two equal instalments in the financial year following that in which the end date of the Local PSA falls, and the next financial year, subject to the qualification below relating to interim payments on account.

Interim payment on account

Where the Council and the Government have agreed an interim level of performance in relation to a target (or sub-target), as specified in Schedule 1, and the Council and partners achieve it, the Government intends to pay one-fifth of the relevant potential grant in the financial year before the first year in which the main grant would be due. This is treated as a 'payment on account'. The definitive calculation of the grant will be made as described above. The balance of the grant entitlement will be paid in equal instalments in the two subsequent financial years. If the definitive calculation yields a grant entitlement less than the 'payment on account', the excess will be recovered.

Half of each instalment of the grant will be paid as a capital grant, and half as a revenue grant.