



COMMUNITY COUNCILS
A voice for your community



Dulwich Community Council

Draft Minutes of
Dulwich Community Council meeting held on Monday 22nd November 2004 at
Kingsdale School, Alleyn Park SE21

Present

Councillors Kim Humphreys (Chair) – part of meeting, David Bradbury (Vice-Chair), Toby Eckersley, Michelle Pearce, Charlie Smith, Sarah Welfare, Norma Gibbes, Lewis Robinson, William Rowe

1. Introduction and welcome by the Chair

Cllr Humphreys introduced himself and welcomed people to the meeting.

2. Apologies

There were none.

3. Disclosure of Members' interests and dispensations

There were none.

4. Urgent Items

There were none.

5. MATTERS RELATING TO PREVIOUS MEETING

5.1 The following amendments were agreed to the minutes of the meeting of 18 November 2004:

Page 14: in relation to paras 4 and 7 – add in after para 7:

Cllr Gibbes: This is not the understanding of the Kingsdale governing body. Cllr Gibbes offered to speak to Cllr Humphreys outside the meeting about this.

Page 10: amend para 4 The Cricket Club is the *lessee* (delete landlord of the lease).

The Chair signed the minutes with these amendments.

5.2 Matters Arising

Bus routes

Chris Mascord gave an update:

37 bus route – consultation began last week and will last for six weeks. The results will be forwarded to Members for comments.

42 bus route – the route test will take place on 29 November 2004.

Questions followed:

Cllr Pearce: PCT would prefer route to carry on down East Dulwich Grove and then Sainsburys past Dulwich Hospital. Has Chris Mascord any thoughts on different ideas?

Chris Mascord: London Buses did investigate that proposal but there is an operational cost difference.

Paul Reynolds (Herne Hill Forum): the Transport officer in Lambeth will be carrying out further formal consultation in relation to changes in route. This would be to extend past North Dulwich station into East Dulwich to cope with changes/reduction of facilities (Housing Office in Denmark Hill and future introduction of community hospital in East Dulwich Grove).

Councillor Humphreys: is there still scope to do this?

Chris Mascord: will propose at meeting with London Buses on 29 November, then London Buses would write to LBS with proposal.

David Cianfarani: 40 bus originally went this route but lack of toilets is the key issue.

Hugh Dawes: this raises the issue of the route going to Sainsburys. Residents concerned about lack of toilets at terminal point – need to find terminus point with facilities.

Q: If 37 buses go as a one-way route down Derwent Grove – concerned this will be a 24-hour night bus.

Chris Mascord: these are proposals at present. If Melbourne Grove becomes a one-way road it will be possible to introduce more parking.

Councillor Humphreys: the consultation process will be reported back and residents are now aware of proposals. There will be more information on 37 frequency and an opportunity to discuss all options. Will come back to future meetings

5.3 Community Wardens

Des Waters: recruitment is underway – short list of 33 applicants. Will be setting up steering group to drive scheme. Will be operative from January 2005.

6. Deputations

6.1 Deputation by DUCKS

Heather Friell (Head teacher of DUCKS):

- Number of serious concerns about road safety on College Road in
- The vicinity of DUCKS
- Request drop off zone at lower level car park to ensure children's safety and manage cars back out onto road.
- Drop off zone needed in morning and short term parking in afternoon.
- No long-term parking is requested
- Already encourage car sharing and children to cycle to school.
- Local residents support proposals

Margaret Jarman: Chairman of Residents Association representing 33 properties in the drop off zone.

- Support requests to have turning area on the grassed area
- Exit to Constable Walk in mornings is a nightmare with many complaints
- Situation will be exacerbated with double yellow lines in College Road
- Many of the safety issues will not be improved when the latest traffic calming and parking restrictions come into force in a few weeks time.

Questions followed:

Cllr Eckersley: Who owns land, what can council do?

Councillor Humphreys: land owned by college but it is Metropolitan Open Land. College Road is a private road with lot of parking creating safety issues. There have been crashes and children cross road. These road safety issues are being brought to Dulwich Community Council. The main Planning Committee would need to take decision as it relates to Metropolitan Open Land.

Phil Chambers, Planning Officer, previous hard surface laid without benefit of planning permission. There have been two refusals by planning department. The hard surface is technically "OK" but contrary to Council policy.

Last refusal was two years ago and school did not appeal. School can submit another application for fresh decision and it would go to Planning Committee. This would be a lengthy process. Speed of traffic is the main issue.

B Gibson, resident: LBS should be congratulated for working with Dulwich Estate on this. Local residents have been sounded out and would support school's proposals.

Councillor Humphreys: application should be submitted. The issue of defending Metropolitan Open Land needs wider airing.

Cllr Bradbury: If another planning application is submitted then consultation of residents and Dulwich Community Council needed.

6.2 Deputation by Dulwich Village Business Association

Brian Green, Chair of DVBS spoke of concerns

- 16 m of shops but only one street light
- This is at north end of Dulwich Village, which is a very busy thoroughfare to Dulwich Infant and Dulwich Hamlets School.
- Two weeks ago DVBA held a meeting to discuss safety particularly the smash and grab at the dress shop in Dulwich Village.
- While the meeting was taking place someone was mugged on same stretch of road.
- Requesting extra lampposts and DVBA would fit with floral baskets

Questions followed:

Cllr Eckersley: is one of deferred items for Dulwich Community Fund.

6.3 Deputation by Triangle Traffic

Simon Taylor addressed the meeting:

- Triangle Traffic is a community action group set up in 1998 – for Half Moon Lane / Red Post Hill and Herne Hill boundary – traffic calming measures lobbied for
- 2002 – Phase 1 completed
- Reductions in speeding initially but problems are back
- Also problems in neighbouring roads
- Some of speed cushions are too low
- 12000 residents in area
- Not all traffic calming has taken place – original phase has not been fully implemented
- Can council review effectiveness of traffic calming measures?
- Plan to reduce street clutter is welcome
- Having 20mph zone in the triangle will mean more significant improvements
- New ideas should be looked at such as Safer Routes to Schools/ Cleaner, Greener, Safer
- Traffic Triangle residents to be consulted

Hayden Tuck responded that money has been applied for to Dulwich Community Fund and information has been provided.

Questions followed:

Q: part of Dulwich has received no treatment – Farquhar Road and Dulwich Wood Avenue. Request to be treated in equally

Cllr Pearce: Money has been approved for Jasper Road and North Dulwich Avenue.

Simon Taylor – traffic calming does not work.

Cllr Pearce: What is price of entry tables? These are more effective than cushions.

Hayden Tuck: price per entry treatment is £8-£12K.
Safer Routes to Schools – estimate is £45-£55K

Cllr Rowe: - scaled down proposals could be looked at.

Pietro D'Amato (Red Post Hill)– it is better to do something.

Simon Taylor: supports Red Post Hill being included. There should be a review of work already done and must look at whole area.

Q: Supports reduction in speed – Holmdene Avenue has problem with double and triple parking. Cars park in middle of road.

Q: First he had heard of consultation - all local residents should be consulted.

Cllr Bradbury: It is proposed that only North Dulwich Triangle would be consulted with

7. **Dulwich Flooding**

Des Waters presented his report on the flooding of 27 April 2004.

First report came to Dulwich Community Council on 22 June and since then there has been an officer investigation.

Scope of the investigation:

- Scale of the weather conditions
- Nature and extent of damage caused
- Adequacy of drainage in Dulwich area – including Dulwich Park and private playing fields
- Response of statutory agencies and emergency services
- Council's response
- Communications with the public
- Responsibility / liability for financial losses
- Covered all roads that suffered from flooding
- Communication between Thames Water and LBS

Investigation:

- Reviewed adequacy and maintenance of road gulleys
- Invited public response of residents
- Met with businesses

DRAFT

- Met with LBL and jointly with TW
- Met with private landowners and looked at adequacy of their ground drainage systems
- Legal advice taken.
- Looked at similar instances e.g. London Borough of Camden – experienced very catastrophic floods.

Findings:

- Nearly all flooding occurred south of East Dulwich Road and Lordship Lane
- Many residents and businesses affected
- Public services and public transport badly affected
- Cost of at least £1m (not including cost to residents and businesses).
- Topography of Dulwich has meant history of floods in summers
- Contributory factors – not blocked gulleys – sewer system could not cope
- Will re-tender gulley cleaning contract
- Focus on role of TW and LBS
- Intend to improve council's response in future
- Making recommendations to TW to improve their approach
- Need to work with and lobby TW and Ofwat to prioritize work in LBS to reduce sewer flooding
- Public Sewers are responsibility of Thames Water

Cllr Eckersley: need to bear in mind the natural lie of the land. Unless sewers are mechanically pumped, flow follows lie of the land.

LBS is responsible for Highway Drainage

Private sewers and drains – individuals only responsible for drainage to own land.

Explanatory factors:

- Thames Water Sewers were full
- Topography
- Level of water meant Effra River, Effra Sewer and Effra Relief Sewer were all full and sewage surcharged back.
- Road gulleys not an overriding factor but some were blocked.
- There have been other floods before April 2004. Up to 2004, no routine sewer maintenance in area by Thames Water.

Recommendations:

- Improve gulley-cleaning performance
- Retendering service for gulley cleaning
- Minor highway works to deal with localized flooding
- Revised spec –re-design for new gulley gratings
- Examine role of agencies that dealt with flooding on the night
- Improve role of council
- Tackle lack of co-ordination by agencies

- Key failure that Emergency response plan was not initiated –
- Improve council's response to flooding and other emergencies (No information at Call Centre to relay to residents; Ward councilors not informed either)
- Set up joint Thames Water/LBS task force
- Make representation to Watervoice Thames for investment
- Make representations to Ofwat to prioritize TW spending. (TW spending is driven by number of residents' complaints and TW only have records of 152 properties in Southwark being flooded in last 10 years).
- What can council do to encourage people to report to TW?
- TW has informal policy of recompensing residents of property at premises and some compensation for flooding damage.
- Report still a draft and consultation will continue draft final report goes to Executive.
- TW not represented tonight but will attend meeting (along with Lambeth) to look at flooding in area. Herne Hill Forum will host meeting.

Questions followed:

Cllr Pearce: report very good. Large number of victims not here. How will report be distributed? Will it go on website? Important to provide opportunity for people to ask questions. Rights and responsibilities of private landowners are not detailed – e.g. Playfield Crescent was very badly affected. Mutual rights and responsibilities – can anyone be forced to change?

Cllr Smith: Need to learn some lessons. It has been said that blocked gulleys were not contributory factor but many are blocked solid. Gulleys should be cleared more than every six months.

Cllr Pearce: Dulwich Park is not mentioned. Could regeneration works improve drainage? Also, drainage from playing fields should be looked at, so does not affect residents.

Robert Johnson: How can capacity of main sewer be increased? College Road floods every year.

Cllr Eckersley: good report but accountability is a problem. Drainage in Dulwich Park could be improved but no use if sewer inadequate. When does it go to Executive?

Des Waters: report will go onto website along with contact details. Herne Hill Forum meeting will be advertised. Exploring liability of other agencies or private landowners. Contact with major landowners to explore adequacy of drainage. Council cannot require private landowners to put in drainage.

Agrees Playfield Crescent is a problem. Is reviewing adequacy of gully cleaning contract. Report cannot address capacity of sewers and will speak to TW re this. Talking to parks in the area.

Council's emergency response was inadequate and highlights training requirements.

Report back to Executive in December/January.

Cllr Bradbury thanked Des Waters for the report.

8. Dulwich Community Fund

Donald Forde gave a brief report on progress.

There were some deferred items from 2nd November meeting:
Community Education Football Initiative – Women's Football - £3000 award. Requesting full grant for kit to register with the league.
Multi faith event will be taken forward by CIDU
Imagine East Dulwich - CIDU will be working on this with Members.
Based on Imagine Peckham proposal.

Questions followed:

Cllr Pearce: proposed that decision be deferred until Members Decisions.

9. Improvements to Playgrounds

Dan Hollas introduced this item. The recommendations were:

- Heber school - £70K (£50K has been awarded from Dulwich Community Fund)
- Sunray Gardens estate £40K
- Three toddler areas on housing estates £85K

Questions followed:

Cllr Welfare: How much money is available to Dulwich Community Council?

Dan Hollas: Total of £1m for eight Community Councils so approx £125-£150K (fairly equal split). Could recommend all bids and prioritize them.

Cllr Pearce: Which playgrounds have already been funded by CGS and Dulwich Community Fund?

Andrea Allen: Dulwich Hamlet junior had been funded from CGS and Heber school funded from Dulwich Community Fund. The three programmes had been running in parallel and so the bids had been made to different programmes. The Dulwich Community Fund had overtaken the ItP programme, which had been announced first.

Cllr Pearce: the Improvements to Playgrounds scheme had been very slow. Agreed with idea of prioritizing the bids.

Cllr Eckersley: £50K had been awarded to Heber under Dulwich Community Fund. Heber could be the reserve bid for £20K.

Q: Could Lordship Lane estate be prioritized?

10. Housing Strategy

Keith Broxup spoke to this item

- New 5-year strategy is needed
- Current housing strategy ends in 2005
- Statutory obligation to produce housing strategy
- Covers private as well as public housing
- Each local authority needs a housing strategy
- Chris Hunter will take names and addresses and telephone numbers
- Consultation document will be mailed out
- Consult over next few weeks
- Annual Strategy conference on 21 February 2005

Questions followed:

Cllr Bradbury: what is the closing date for consultation?

A: End of January 2005.

There will be more forms and leaflets available at January meeting.

11. Cleaner, Greener, Safer 2003-04 and 2004-05 programmes

Nigel Robinson spoke of the issues not covered in the report, which was circulated with the agenda.

11.1 2004/05 schemes

Dulwich Youth 2000 (at Streatham and Marlborough Cricket Club)

Funding also being sought from alternative sources

Club may not be in a position to spend allocation this financial year

11.2 Dawson Heights

Cllr Smith: environmentally friendly surface (rather than tarmac) would be welcome. Eg timber steps.

Cllr Robinson: must be safe as this is a route to school.

11.3 Delawyk Crescent

Still waiting for decision from DTRA for works to commence

Cllr Pearce: Committee not very enthusiastic about idea – only one person voted for it. Currently undertaking individual household survey.

Alastair Hanton, Dulwich Society: one of options being considered was for posts and chains. This should be costed as a fourth option. Does the DTRA still want the posts and chains?

11.4 Dulwich Park

Entrance posts will be collapsible and budget needed is £10K not £5K

Cllr Pearce: Collapsible bollards and pedestrian crossing are both proposed for Court Lane Gate. This is a “belt and braces” approach – the pedestrian crossing may not be necessary if double yellow line and bollards would be enough. Could save money. Consultation with residents needed.

Cllr Rowe – requested clarification on award to Streatham & Marlborough Community Council.

Andrea Allen: £50K allocated from CGS is to Dulwich Youth 2000 to enable the group to use the clubhouse. £25K has been allocated to the Cricket Club from DCF for accessibility works.

Cllr Bradbury: requested information on timings and any slippages to be added to report for minutes.

Nigel Robinson: timeframes will be added to report for minutes.

12. Southwark Community Games

This will be launched at next meeting on 19th January 2005. This year Dulwich was overall sixth.

13. Planning Policy Updates

Sarah Beuden, Planning Policy, presented the following items:

- Second deposit UDP - outlining the pre inquiry modifications and the next stage of the process.
- The Local Development Scheme and the Statement of Community Involvement - what it is, its progression and development.
- Dulwich Supplementary Planning Guidance.

(Attached as Appendix 1)

14. Questions followed

Simon Taylor: are there better maps available, particularly showing boundary roads? Why is there no mention of Lordship Lane?

Sarah Beuden: Consultation finishes 28 January 2005 and will be able to provide larger, colour maps to those interested. Lordship Lane has its own SPG – this consultation follows suburban south density line.

15. Soap Box Session/Public Question Time

Sally Harmer: Please advise when the re-survey of Carver Road residents regarding a CPZ is to be carried out. Advice received in October from Southwark was this was due in October 2004.

Reply received: Carver Road is situated on the boundary of the Herne Hill CPZ and it is likely that this road might be included in

the zone. Please also note that the hours of operation will be reviewed in due course. A consultant has been asked to provide a team of CPZ officers to undertake a programme of CPZ reviews and will commence the programme in December 04.

Q: Re bus lane in Lordship Lane, the no parking signs are too high – motorists cannot see them.

Chris Mascord will deal with this.

Cllr Smith: signage is too high but it satisfies regulations – 4' x 3'

Q: Can posters advertising Community Council meetings be stuck to trees and lampposts?

Members did not agree with this suggestion.

Q: Can name of Dulwich Community Council be changed to Dulwich and Norwood Community Council?

Members did not agree with this suggestion.

11. Members Decisions

Deputations:

DUCKS – no decision needed goes to Planning Committee.

Dulwich Village Business Association – request for extra streetlights to be taken under decisions on Dulwich Community Fund.

Triangle Traffic

Cllr Pearce: may be some money through Safer Routes to Schools? The request for a Review and Impact Assessment hasn't happened. Should start ask officers to begin Review and Impact Assessment.

Simon Taylor: there is a proposal to remove signs and put up more signs. We need money to do assessment on what has happened already.

Cllr Eckersley: Dulwich Community Council has not got £20K – only £11- £15K left in Dulwich Community Fund. Would advise everyone not to rush into impact study.

Cllr Pearce: this costs more than we have this year. Do we need large-scale impact study?

Cllr Bradbury: There isn't the money to do the scheme this year. Triangle Traffic should work up bid for next year's CGS or for Dulwich Community Fund, if revenue programme continues into 2005-06 and group should also meet with local councilors in January 2005.

Cllr Pearce: there is too much talk and no action.

Simon Taylor: consultants' fees would come to £50K. People who live outside area are also suffering. Children should be able to walk to Charter school in safety.

Cllr Eckersley: There is money for safer routes for Charter school.

Cllr Pearce: Safer Routes to Schools money is not at disposal of Dulwich Community Council. It is the School Groups that make recommendations.

Cllr Eckersley: would include Red Post Hill.

Flooding

Dulwich Community Council endorses the contents of the report and asks the Executive to look at those recommendations that bear on Council for action, with urgency.

Dulwich Community Fund

Cllr Bradbury: There is £11K-15K left to allocate. Would like to fund the extra lights for Dulwich Village at a cost of £11-£14K.

Cllr Pearce: This is capital spend. Potential slippage should be identified for this. The Three Hills Community worker proposal is revenue and should be spent soon. The Pedestrian crossing and Bollards for Dulwich Park appear to be an over-commitment and some money could be released there.

Cllr Rowe: agrees with funding the streetlights for the Village.

Cllr Smith: is this stretch of pavement private?

Alastair Hanton: the lights would be on a public pavement.

Cllr Gibbes: the money for these lights could come from another source.

Cllr Bradbury: would like to allocate DCF money to fund these lights.

Cllr Pearce: it is eligible for CGS programme. The Three Hills Community Worker can only be funded by DCF revenue money.

Paul Reynolds: unclear what is being talked about, as the list is not available.

Cllr Bradbury: These were the deferred items from meeting of 2nd November 2004 (to discuss Dulwich Community Fund). There were bids to fund a community worker from Three Hills Community Forum,

lights for Dulwich Village, Triangle Traffic further traffic calming measures.

Cllr Eckersley: Although there is unallocated money under CGS, this should not be allocated tonight, as it may be needed for Dulwich Village small improvements works.

Cllr Robinson: lighting could be funded from CGS.

Cllr Welfare: Two of the deferred items – traders are not in favour of pedestrianisation of Northcross Road; Safety Audit of Lordship Lane was rejected too.

Cllr Welfare: Supports Cllr Pearce's ideas and proposes funding the Three Hills Community Forum through DCF and lighting could be funded from CGS.

Cllr Pearce: needs to be an officer report on CGS funded collapsible posts and pedestrian crossing at Court Lane at entrance to Dulwich Park. The best solution should be prioritized.

Cllr Pearce moved a motion to fund the Three Hills Community Forum worker from DCF. Cllr Gibbes seconded this.

The motion was not carried.

Cllr Eckersley: proposed that the lighting outside Dulwich Village shops be funded. The first reserve for funding from DCF should be the community worker for THCF. Cllr Rowe seconded this.

This was carried.

Dulwich Community Fund

Agreed to fund five new lights outside shops north of the village crossroads. The first reserve for the Fund is community worker at Three Hills Community Forum / St Faith's Church.

A report on slippage of both CGS and Dulwich Community Fund was requested for the meeting on 21st December 2004.

It was further requested that the consultation for Court Lane Gate options be brought forward. Consultation should be carried out with Eynella and Court Lane residents and Park users on preferred treatment. Are all three options – bollards, pedestrian crossing and double yellow lines - required?

Cleaner, Greener, Safer

Agreed to choose option 2 for the notice boards for the Dulwich Community Council area. (Members to be consulted on location of boards).

Also need to get decision from Delawyk TRA on preferred option for fencing.

Improvements to Playgrounds

Agreed to nominate to Executive the following (in priority order) for funding under Improvements to Playgrounds programme:

- Lordship Lane, Croxted Road and East Dulwich Grove estates - £85K
- Sunray Gardens Estate - £40K
- Heber Primary School – £70K less £50K allocation from Dulwich Community Fund

Herne Hill CPZ

Agreed that officers are requested to provide urgent advice on the precise start date for the six-month review of the Herne Hill CPZ.

School Governor Appointments

Agreed to

Appoint Mrs Kerry Maraszko as a School Governor to Heber school.
Appoint Miss J Brown as a School Governor to Goodrich school.

Cllr Gibbes: would like members to meet as a group for agenda planning and be involved in deciding the venue for meetings.

The Chair thanked everyone for coming to the meeting and announced there will be a planning meeting on Tuesday 21st December at Christ Church. The next general meeting is on Wednesday 19th January at Christ Church, 263 Barry Road, SE22.

The meeting closed at 10.40pm

Date	Venue
Tuesday 22nd December 2005	Planning items Christ Church, 263 Barry Road SE22
Wednesday 19 January 2005	Christ Church, SE22
Wednesday 9th March 2005	Christ Church, SE22
Wednesday 6th April 2005	Christ Church, SE22
Wednesday 11th May 2005	Christ Church, SE22

**The Southwark Plan 2004
Proposed Pre-Inquiry changes**

What does Planning Policy do?

- Planning policy – Unitary Development Plan (UDP)
- Planning guidance - Supplementary Planning Guidance (SPG) and planning briefs
- Advise on current and future policy and land use designations.

What is this about?

Southwark is three quarters of the way through a five-year process to produce a new Unitary Development Plan (UDP) for the borough. It will be called The Southwark Plan and will replace the current 1995 UDP.

What is in the Southwark Plan?

- A vision for Southwark and how this will happen.
- Objectives about what the Council is trying to achieve
- how planning powers will be used to influence development over next 10 years.
- A framework for guiding development - taking account of past change and looking forward to the future.
- Policies - used to consider and decide planning applications.

The Vision

A borough with a high quality environment where all can prosper and enjoy a Good quality of life and where there is a choice and quality in the services and opportunities that a city can provide.

Four main effects of the Plan

- To secure more employment and training opportunities for local people (through planning agreements);
- To accommodate growth in the population, more and better affordable housing and more good quality services with higher densities of development;
- To allow greater accessibility by sustainable modes of transport - public transport, walking and cycling and;
- To do all of this while at the same time giving firm protection to open space.

How is the Plan set out?

It is split into two parts:

Part 1 – overall strategy.

Part 2 – detailed objectives and policies.

It also must be consistent with national and regional guidance including the Mayor's London Plan, and other Council strategies including the Community Strategy.

Progress so far...

- Environmental Appraisal of the existing 1995 UDP - April 2000.
- Pre-deposit Consultation – May to August 2001.
- First Draft Deposit of the Southwark Plan – November 2002.

- Second Draft Deposit of the Southwark Plan – March 2004.

Why should you be interested?

- Massive impact on everyone who lives in, works, visits and goes to school in Southwark.
- The main influence on development.
- Should be simplified, up to date and user friendly.
- To be used by individuals, conservation and amenity groups, businesses, developers, and anyone else who has an interest in the way land is used and developed.
- It should enable people to understand and comment on decisions which affect them.

Proposed Pre - Inquiry Changes & Draft Dulwich SPG *October 2004*

Next stages...

- We have considered all the representations made at 2nd deposit stage and revising the plan to take account of people's comments.
- These revisions are called proposed pre-Inquiry changes.

Proposed pre-inquiry changes

- Not formal changes to the Plan.
- What we would like to do to resolve objections.
- Will be considered at the Inquiry in 2005 as a way to address objections.
- If accepted in 2005, they will be advertised before the Plan is formally changed.

What happens now?

- Report to committee on this consultation - January 2005.
- Pre-Inquiry meeting with Inspector - January 2005
- Inquiry evidence provided by the Council – January 2005
- Inquiry evidence provided by objectors – End of March 2005.
- Public Inquiry – 12th April 2005 to 3rd June 2005
- Formal Adoption – expected to be early 2006

Draft Dulwich Supplementary Planning

Guidance Notes

- Guidance notes which support and supplement policies in Plan, providing greater detail;
- Provide a framework for appropriate development in Dulwich;
- Currently on consultation until 28th January 2005;
- Report to Dulwich Community Council and Executive with redrafted SPG 2005.

Vision for Dulwich Village Local Centre

- Vision for a *'historic area with homes, retail, local services and open spaces*

that retain much of the original built form and are accessible to local people’.

- To maintain the unique character of Dulwich Village Local Centre;
- Improving services by increasing the number and quality of community and health facilities.

Vision for Herne Hill Local Centre

- *‘A vibrant neighbourhood providing essential services for local people’;*
- Encourage residential uses above the shops
- Protect the cohesive shopping frontage and façade
- Active frontages to increase vitality, safety footfall and create a sense of place
- Improve access
- Encourage investment in existing buildings and the public realm
- Improve services by increasing the number and quality of community and health facilities.

Where can I look at the proposed changes to the UDP and Draft SPG for Dulwich?

- Local libraries
- Chiltern House, Portland Street SE17 2ES
- www.southwark.gov.uk/udp
- Call 020 7525 5418 – free to residents, amenity societies, registered charities

Statement of Community Involvement (SCI)

- Required to be prepared under the new planning framework.
- When, how and who will be involved in planning documents and planning applications.
- Discussion document is being prepared for community information and to increase awareness.
- Available to the public in February 2005 (council website, council libraries or by request).
- First round of consultation in summer 2005.
- If you, your organization, or group would like to be involved in the development of the SCI, please get in touch with council to register your interest and to provide contact details.

Contacts

For any queries:

Planning Policy Team

Chiltern, Portland St.

SE17 2ES

Tel: (020) 7525 5418

Email udp@southwark.gov.uk