



COMMUNITY COUNCILS
A voice for your community



DRAFT

Bermondsey Community Council

Planning Meeting

Minutes of the Bermondsey Community Council Planning Meeting held on Friday 29 July 2005 at Southwark Town Hall, Peckham Road, SE5 8UB.

The meeting opened at **4.35pm**

PRESENT

Councillors: Beverley Bassom (*Chair*), Stephen Flannery (*Vice-Chair*), Eliza Mann and Linda Manchester.

Officers: David Berger (Legal), David Williams (Planning) and Louise Shah (CCDO).

1. INTRODUCTION

Councillor Bassom welcomed members of the public and Members.

2. APOLOGIES

Councillors Capstick, Skelly, Stanton and Mizzi sent apologies for absence.

3. NOTIFICATION OF ANY OTHER ITEMS THE CHAIR DEEMS AS URGENT

There were none.

4. DISCLOSURE OF INTERESTS AND DISPENSATIONS

There were none.

RECORDING OF MEMBERS' VOTES

Council Procedure Rule 1.17 (5) allows a Member to record her/his vote in respect of any Motions and amendments. Such requests are detailed in the following Minutes. Should a Member's vote be recorded in respect to an amendment, a copy of the amendment may be found in the Minute File and is available for public inspection.

The Committee considered the items set out on the agenda, a copy of which has been incorporated in the Minute File. Each of the following paragraphs relates to the item bearing the same number on the agenda.

DEVELOPMENT CONTROL (SEE PAGES 1 to 29)

ITEM 1/1: 206-208 Bermondsey Street, SE1 – Full Planning Permission

Proposal: (05-AP-0406)

Erection of a 4 storey building comprising commercial office (Class B1) space on the ground floor and 9 self contained flats above with repositioned vehicular access through to an existing rear car park

Recommendation: Grant

Cllr Bassom explained that this item had been presented to Councillors previously but had been deferred until a site visit could be conducted and further information regarding a window on the flank wall of an adjacent building provided. The site visit allayed any concerns the Councillors had about the application and said that unless the Applicant wished to add anything (which he did not), and since there were no objectors present, they would move straight to the decision.

Decision: **AGREED**

Cllr Bassom then decided to change the agenda order and take Item 1/3 before 1/2.

ITEM 1/3: 6-48B Southwark Park Road and part of rear/ front elevation of 1 & 7 Dunton Road, SE16 – Council’s Own Development Reg. 3

Proposal: (05-CO-0053)

Change of windows from timber to aluminium/timber composite, change of staircase windows from polycarbonate to glass blocks on the front elevation of Southwark Park Road properties, removal of concrete planters to rear and replace with metal railings on the rear elevation of the Southwark Park Road properties.

Recommendation: Grant

Cllr Bassom immediately explained that Councillors had read the information on the item and felt that a site visit would be necessary before hearing the application.

Decision: **DEFER** pending a site visit.

Cllrs asked that it be **NOTED** (as at the last meeting) that they were unhappy about consultants not being present for hearings regarding the Council’s own developments.

ITEM 1/2: 73 Southwark Park Road, SE16 – Full Planning Permission

Proposal: (05-AP-0630)

Use of ground floor as a cafe (incorporating internal extract duct that discharges at main roof level, and refuse storage in rear) - revised resubmission of previously refused scheme.

Recommendation: Grant

The Planning Officer introduced the item as per the proposal outlined above and stated that previously there had been problems with the application due to the extract to the rear. The scheme had been adapted to the officer's satisfaction and meets policy requirements. The Planning Officer then showed Members photos and plans of the site.

Cllr Bassom asked for clarification on whether the property in question was number 73 Southwark Park Road or number 71, as the papers, plans and photos appeared to contradict one another.

Decision: **DEFER** due to the lack of adequate reports/ plans

Members also asked that it be **NOTED** that:

- a) They are dissatisfied with the quality of work being produced by the planning department generally
- b) They are dissatisfied that the officer had not had adequate time to digest the applications and be informed fully in order to answer their queries fully (since he was deputising for another officer)
- c) They expect the application back on 31st August with full and proper reports so that the Applicant does not have to wait any longer than he has already done.

The chair thanked all attending and brought the meeting to a close at **4.50pm**

CHAIR:

DATE: