

TAKEnote

Nunhead and Peckham Rye Community Council



 Nunhead and Peckham Rye

Minutes Agreements Form

southwark.gov.uk/communitycouncil

Date	Tuesday 1 November 2005
Venue	St Mary Magdalene Primary School, 48 Brayards Road, SE15 3RA
Start time	7.15pm
Finish time	10.04pm
In attendance	Councillors Smeath (Chair), Colley (Vice-Chair), Banya, Glover, Simmons, Thorncroft and Graham
Absent	Councillor Hayes
Apologies received	Councillor Barnard
Urgent items	None
Members' interests and dispensations	None

Public questions raised	
Q1	Is there a change in the CPZ boundary in Danby St. or Choumert Rd?
A1	There are no changes to the CPZ boundary in terms of an increase in size in Danby Street or Choumert Road.
Q2	What is the proposal about the free parking bays inside the existing CPZ?
A2	It was seen that free bays next to permit bays would be unfair on the permit holders paying for their freedom to park, also this goes against the whole idea of a 'controlled zone'. CPZs need to be self-financing. Consultation results from 2004 were not high so the parking team are listening to the views of the Community Council and those commenting now and are still adapting the scheme accordingly, hence a street being removed from the CPZ.
Q3	Can we have a significantly reduced charge and season ticket prices for the multi-storey car park to make it more attractive than the other car parks and the street bays?

A3	<p>People do not like to use the multi storey car park and so there is no natural vigilance.</p> <p>Off-street parking prices will remain the same 60p and season tickets already exist. We are looking at ways to improve publicity for businesses of parking/loading facilities. There will be a consultation after Christmas to ask for suggestions about how the multi storey car park could be made more attractive to them. Will re-evaluate signage and make greater publicity of season tickets.</p>
Q4	Not sure it is true that no CPZ times in the borough end before 6.30pm?
A4	All CPZs in Southwark operate at least until 6.30pm. They may be some up until 5.30pm in Lambeth? Peckham is recognised by the emerging Parking Enforcement Plan as a town centre and suggests a regime of all-day controls for 6 or 7 days per week. We need to balance local and trader needs and this also pertains to weekends. However, we don't think restrictions are required on Sundays, this would be overly draconian.)
Q5	When was the consultation changed to remove decisions on CPZs from elected councillors to unelected officers?
A5	The council constitution has not been changed during this CPZ review. This was changed when the current constitution was introduced.
Q6	What are the acceptable criteria for objecting in the statutory consultation period?
A6	Statutory consultation allows 21 days for objection to a scheme with consultation taking place with the statutory authorities but the regulations state that any objection must be considered by the authority. They must be made in writing and within 21 days of the proposal notice.
Q7	Will the Community Council agree to seek a review of the whole consultation process on CPZ? It is not satisfactory and there is a better way of doing it. The review should look for good practice elsewhere, for example, Newham.
A7	The consultation process is considered robust enough and has worked elsewhere. They are successful if hard facts are put in front of people and although people may not like the proposals, at least this can then form a starting point. (See <u>ACTION</u> below. Councillors <u>NOTED</u> there may be differences between areas regarding CPZs, citing Relf, Elm and Anstey roads as examples of different opinions to the Bellenden Road residents.)
Comment	Removing all the free bays within the existing CPZ was not justified. It was not essential that all parking within the CPZ had to be paid or not allowed. It did make sense, at least near the edge of the CPZ, to have a mixture of free and pay bays in one street if some but not all the street wanted residents' permits. If there was not a full take up of resident permits in a street, adjacent totally free streets just outside the CPZ might be swamped while the streets with residents' permits be less than full. That is why it is essential to see the figures for people wanting residents' permits in these streets. We still want to see these figures.
Q8	What is the parking surplus for the area?
A8	Surplus is the amount of money generated from parking (permits, pay and display, vouchers, Penalty Charge Notices) minus the cost of enforcement and CPZ administration. It is a ring-fenced parking account that must be self-financing. Nicky Costin will clarify and supply for the meeting on 24/01/06. (See <u>ACTION</u>

	below)
Q9	There was no mention of motorcycle bays.
A9	Throughout the consultation we have asked for comment on suitable locations for motorcycle bays and have proposed some new (destination) motorcycle bays around the town centre. Existing motorcycle bays that are faded or incorrectly signed bays will be refreshed and re-signed.
Q10	The 100% increase in the cost of the pay and display is not attractive, especially from the point of view of local traders. No confidence that this is not about revenue alone.
A10	The voucher scheme has been unsuccessful over the last 12 years with take up low and only few outlets selling them in nearby streets. The system will be replaced by pay and display machines to give greater visitor parking opportunity. Parking tariffs will be structured to encourage use of the car parks. Visitor-permits will be newly made available. These can only be purchased by residents living within the CPZ, available from the parking shop or by post.
<u>NOTED</u>	The community has appreciated Tim Walker's efficiency and co-operation throughout the process.

Summary of the decision or action

The following is a summary of the decisions and actions taken at this meeting.

The item number relates to the agenda item number where possible.

Clarification or queries on any points should be raised in the first instance with Louise Shah on **020 7525 0640**

Item number	Summary of the decision or action
5	AGREED: That the minutes of 20 September 2005 (General) and 10 October 2005 (Planning) are true and accurate recordings of those Community Council meetings, with the following amendment to the general minutes: (Comment between Q1 & Q2) Westminster City Council (rather than TfL) now allows ALL bus lanes to be used by motorcycles.
Deputation: 10	AGREED: That the Nunhead and Peckham Rye Community Council requests the council's transport, traffic and parking sections, and Transport for London, to carry out an urgent review of the parking situation along Evelina Road as raised by the deputation and to report back at the next meeting.
Deputation: 10	ACTION: Evelina Road has recently been resurfaced and thus traffic (often non local through traffic, including lorries) has increased substantially. Officers should note this point and consider how to encourage motorists to use the more major roads.
Deputation: 9	AGREED: That Nunhead and Peckham Rye Community Council objects to the designation of the St Mary's Road Conservation Area, which does not have the support from local residents and the local community. We

	urge the Planning Committee to reject the proposal. We further urge that the Nunhead Green Conservation Area, which does have broad community support, should be actioned with all speed.
11	AGREED: That Nunhead and Peckham Rye Community Council: i) Supports the revised proposal for 30 minute free parking in two sets of staggered bays on Bellenden Road and also the removal of Wingfield Street from the CPZ ii) Supports parking on both sides of the road at the southern end of Lyndhurst Way iii) Notes that the consultation on Chadwick Road missed out a number of residents and notes the revised plans for the road iv) Supports the request by residents of Nigel Road for parking on both sides of the road v) Asks for a report back on the response to Freedom of Information requests.
11	AGREED: That Nunhead and Peckham Rye Community Council believes that the process for review or establishment of CPZs should be modified in light of the experience of the recent review of the Peckham town centre CPZ (zone B).
Members' Decisions	AGREED: To appoint Miss Jo Morgan as school governor to Hollydale Primary School.
Members' Decisions	AGREED: Local Traffic decisions as outlined in the reports.
PQT	ACTION: To invite John East (Head of Planning) to the next Community Council meeting on 24 th January 2006 to answer questions on a range of planning issues raised in recent months.
12	NOTE: That the Peckham Area Action Plan (PAAP) should include the Queens Road area.
11	ACTION: To report back to the next meeting with a local parking surplus breakdown report.

The information included in this form, together with the attached notes, form the minutes from the above meeting and have been agreed as a true and accurate recording of that meeting. Any necessary amendments shall be detailed in the **Summary of Actions and Decisions** held at the Town Hall by the relevant Community Councils Development Officer.

Chair

Date