

Item No. 6.	Classification: Open	Date: 6 February 2016	Meeting Name: Peckham and Nunhead Community Council
Report title:		Deputation Request – Council’s proposal to remove the Bellenden gyratory system as part of the Bellenden, Lyndhurst Way, Highshore Road walking and cycling Scheme.	
Ward(s) or groups affected:		The Lane ward	
From:		Proper Constitutional Officer	

RECOMMENDATION

1. That the Peckham and Nunhead Community Council considers a deputation request from residents of Lyndhurst way and Peckham Rye concerning the proposal for Bellenden gyratory system. This is part of the Bellenden, Lyndhurst Way, Highshore Road walking and cycling scheme detailed under item 18 on the agenda.

BACKGROUND INFORMATION

2. The deputation request was submitted by residents of Lyndhurst Way and Peckham Rye.
3. A deputation can be submitted by a person of any age who lives, works or studies in Southwark. Deputations must relate to matters which the council has powers or duties or which affects Southwark.
4. The deputation states:

“We would like to make a deputation on behalf of the residents of the Lane Ward who oppose the councils proposal to remove the Bellenden gyratory system as part of the Bellenden, Lyndhurst Way, Highshore Road walking and cycling Scheme.

Our deputation would offer a unique perspective to the councillors and wider Peckham residents by being made up of residents who have lived in the area for over a hundred and twenty years (collectively). This covers three generations who live, work and cycle in the area.

We feel the removal of the gyratory system in favour of two way streets and road closures will have adverse effects on the health both physically and mentally of our close knit community. The safety of the community and those who commute through is our main concern.

The proposal promotes division in the community by placing the burden of traffic onto a few streets, drastically increasing traffic volumes, air/noise pollution and congestion, which will spread to the surrounding areas.”

“We would ask the councillors to reject the current proposal.

By talking to the residents, councillors and external lobby groups we believe we can develop a better, safer, cleaner and greener solution for all.”

5. At the meeting, the spokesperson for the deputation will be invited to speak up to five minutes on the subject matter. The community council will debate the deputation and at the conclusion of the deputation the chair will seek the consent of councillors to debate the subject. Councillors may move motions and amendments without prior notice if the subject does not relate to a report on the agenda. The meeting can decide to note the deputation or provide support if requested to do so. The community council shall not take any formal decision(s) on the subject raised unless a report is on the agenda
6. Any relevant resource or community impact issues will be contained in the comments of the strategic director.

KEY ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION

7. The deputation shall consist of no more than six persons, including the spokesperson.
8. Only one member of the deputation shall be allowed to address the meeting, her or his speech being limited to five minutes.
9. Councillors may ask questions of the deputation, which shall be answered by their spokesperson or any member of the deputation nominated by her or him for up to five minutes at the conclusion of the spokesperson’s address.
10. If more than one deputation is to be heard in respect of one subject there shall be no debate until each deputation has been presented. The monitoring officer shall, in writing, formally communicate the decision of the meeting to the person who submitted the request for the deputation to be received.

SUPPLEMENTARY ADVICE FROM OTHER OFFICERS

Comments of the Strategic Director of Environment and Leisure

11. None.

BACKGROUND DOCUMENTS

Background Papers	Held At	Contact
Written correspondence	160 Tooley Street, London SE1P 5LX	Beverley Olamijulo 020 7525 7234

AUDIT TRAIL

Lead Officer	Chidi Agada, Principal Constitutional Officer	
Report Author	Beverley Olamijulo, Constitutional Officer	
Version	Final	
Dated	2 February 2016	
Key Decision?	No	
CONSULTATION WITH OTHER OFFICERS / DIRECTORATES / CABINET MEMBER		
Officer Title	Comments Sought	Comments included
Director of Law and Democracy	No	No
Strategic Director of Finance and Governance	No	No
Strategic Director of Environment and Leisure	Yes	No
Cabinet Member	No	No
Date final report sent to the Constitutional Team	2 February 2016	