



**INDIVIDUAL CABINET MEMBER DECISION-MAKING
RECORD OF DECISION**

PART A

DETAILS OF REPORT <i>(Officers to complete this section prior to issuing to cabinet member)</i>	
Title of report	Grove Vale Controlled Parking Zone 1 st and 2 nd Stage Report
Decision-maker	Cabinet Member for Transport, Environment and Recycling
Earliest date when decision can be taken	13 March 2012
Key decision – Yes/No?	Yes
Date published on forward plan	General exception notice issued on 29 February 2012.
Date sent to cabinet member	5 March 2012
Recommendation	The Cabinet Member is recommended to agree Option 2 detailed at paragraph 18 of the report i.e. not to proceed with the installation of a CPZ in any of the Grove Vale study area but carry out minor changes (detailed in figure 2 of the report and set out in Appendix B).

ORIGINATING AUTHOR'S DETAILS <i>(Officers to complete this section prior to issuing to cabinet member)</i>	
Lead officer (Name and job title)	Gill Davies, Strategic Director Environment & Leisure
Report author (Name and job title)	Des Waters, Head of Public Realm, E&L
Contact Number	020 7 525 2388

PART B (Cabinet member to complete this section)

DECISION(S)
AGREE THE RECOMMENDATION

REASONS FOR DECISION

REASONS FOR DECISION

I am agreeing the recommendation on the basis that (1) in the consultation zone overall there was a strong rejection overall, and (2) whilst there was an identifiable support for a CPZ in some streets, there wasn't enough of a coherent cluster to introduce one that would be sustainable. I note that there was very strong rejection of the CPZ in surrounding streets and beyond. However, my decision was largely formed from the data identified in (1) and (2) above. I sincerely believe that it is the duty of the local authority to respond if a good number of residents ask us to help them because they are unable to park near their homes. Whilst I acknowledge that we may have got some minor details wrong in delineating the consultation area, particularly by not including Oglander Road, I do not think that it's right that people from far and wide outside the area of consultation should seek to deny the residents within a coherent area a CPZ if that's what they want to solve their parking problems. Unfortunately it may be due to the vociferous campaign by people outside the area that may have in the end affected the outcome of how people within the zone. Some involved in that campaign resorted to dubious tactics, including implying that residents who wanted a CPZ do not "love" Dulwich, misleading shops across the SE22 area that the council were keen to introduce a CPZ even up as far as Lordship Lane, even going as far as denying there is not a commuter parking problem around East Dulwich Train Station. There clearly is a commuter parking problem in the streets surrounding East Dulwich Train Station but in the final analysis sufficient numbers of residents have rejected a CPZ to make me confident that my rejection of its introduction is the right one.

ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS CONSIDERED

NONE

REPRESENTATIONS RECEIVED

Camberwell Community Council and Dulwich Community Council, both which recommended rejection.

ADDITIONAL ADVICE RECEIVED

NONE

ANY INTERESTS DECLARED

Note: If the decision-maker has a prejudicial interest in the matter the report must be referred to the full cabinet for decision.

Please refer to the definitions of personal and prejudicial interests in the members' code of conduct. If members are still unsure as to whether an interest is personal or prejudicial they should contact the governance team for advice.

NONE

DECLARATION

I approve the recommendations set out in the report.

Signed.....*Brian Harvey*

Dated.....*15th MARCH 2012*

Cabinet Member TRANSPORT, ENVIRONMENT & RECYCLING

Please return completed hard copy of the form to Ian Millichap, Constitutional Team, 160 Tooley Street, P O BOX 64529, London, SE1P 5LX – tel: 020 7525 7225 fax: 020 7525 7284.

Seeking advice

You should seek advice from the relevant officer on a number of occasions:

- (a) If you wish to consider alternative options
- (b) If you are considering rejecting the proposals

Otherwise it is at your discretion when you should seek further advice and you should do so when you consider it appropriate.

