



## **DULWICH COMMUNITY COUNCIL - Planning -**

MINUTES of the Dulwich Community Council held on Monday 4 July 2011 at 7.00 pm  
at Dulwich Library, 368 Lordship Lane, London SE22 8NB

---

**PRESENT:** Councillor Lewis Robinson (Chair)  
Councillor Robin Crookshank Hilton (Vice-Chair)  
Councillor James Barber  
Councillor Michael Mitchell  
Councillor Rosie Shimell  
Councillor Andy Simmons

**OFFICER** Sonia Watson, Planning Officer  
**SUPPORT:** Gavin Blackburn, Legal Officer  
Anil Apte, Transport Officer  
Beverley Olamijulo, Constitutional Officer

### **1. INTRODUCTION AND WELCOME**

The chair welcomed members of the public, councillors and officers to the community council meeting.

### **2. APOLOGIES**

Apologies for absence were received from Councillors Helen Hayes, Toby Eckersley, and Jonathan Mitchell. Councillor Rosie Shimell submitted her apologies for lateness.

### **3. DISCLOSURE OF MEMBERS' INTERESTS AND DISPENSATIONS**

The following Members declared interests in relation to the agenda items below:

**Item 6.1 – 11 – 15 Melbourne Grove, London SE22 8RG application number 10-AP-0420**

Councillor Andy Simmons, personal and non prejudicial as he was lobbied over the above planning application and therefore agreed not to take part in the debate or decision of this item.

## **Item 7 – Proposed Traffic Calming and 20 mph speed limits in East Dulwich**

Councillor Robin Crookshank Hilton, personal and non prejudicial because she has made a predetermined decision on the above item which refers to speed humps in the area.

### **4. ITEMS OF BUSINESS THAT THE CHAIR DEEMS URGENT**

The chair agreed to accept as late and urgent a supplemental agenda which contained item 7, the proposed Traffic Calming and 20 mph speed limits in East Dulwich.

The legal advice received stated that the scheme cannot be considered 'strategic' as it is funded by Cleaner Greener Safer funding which is devolved spending and therefore any determining of statutory objections from traffic management orders must be by the community council. This advice has only just been received. Also because of the summer break, it would be unreasonable to delay implementation of the scheme until after September meeting since the initial public consultation was completed in September 2010.

### **5. MINUTES FROM THE PREVIOUS MEETING**

#### **RESOLVED:**

That the minutes of the meeting held on the 11 May 2011 be agreed as a correct record and signed by the chair.

### **6. DEVELOPMENT CONTROL ITEM**

#### **6.1 11-15 MELBOURNE GROVE, LONDON SE22 8RG**

*The chair announced that he would vary the order of items on the agenda. Members considered item 7 and then item 6.1.*

#### **Planning application reference number 10-AP-0420**

#### **PROPOSAL**

*Application to replace extant permission 08-AP-0579 for: Demolition of existing commercial and residential unit and the construction of Class A3 commercial space at ground floor with 3 x 1 bedroom and 1 x 2 bedroom flats and a studio flat over ground, first and second floors within newly constructed three storey building with associated bicycle storage and refuse storage to front of premises.*

The planning officer introduced the report and circulated the site plans. The officer also drew Members' attention to the addendum report which contained late comments with regard to this application.

Councillors asked questions of the planning officer.

There were no objectors present.

The applicant's agent addressed the meeting.

There were no supporters present at the meeting

Members discussed the application.

**RESOLVED:**

That planning permission for application 10-AP-0420 be granted subject to conditions as outlined in the report and addendum.

**7. THE PROPOSED TRAFFIC CALMING AND 20 MPH SPEED LIMITS IN EAST DULWICH**

This item was not circulated five clear working days of the meeting because the legal advice which has just been received stated that the scheme cannot be considered 'strategic' as it is funded by Cleaner Greener Safer funding which is devolved spending and therefore any determining of statutory objections from traffic management orders must be by the community council. It would be unreasonable to delay implementation of the scheme until after September meeting since the initial public consultation was completed in September 2010.

The transport officer was present to introduce the report. Questions were asked of the officer concerning the statutory consultation, traffic management order, speed limits and the submitted objections which had reference to the noise and vibration.

**RESOLVED:**

1. That the objections received to the statutory notice for the proposed implementation of traffic calming and 20 mph speed limits in East Dulwich were considered and rejected.
2. That the officers be instructed to make the necessary traffic management order under the relevant powers contained in section 6 of the Road Traffic Regulation Act 1984 and the scheme be implemented without any further delay.
3. That Dulwich community council noted:
  - (i) The majority were in favour of the proposed speed humps in Matham Grove, Chesterfield Grove and Ashbourne Grove.
  - (ii) The majority were in favour of a 20 mph speed limit in Matham Grove, Ashbourne Grove, Chesterfield Grove, Bassano Street and Blackwater Street.
  - (iii) The majority were in favour of a 20 mph speed limit on roads bounded by Barry Road, Lordship Lane and Whately Road as outlined in the report.

- (iv) That the road works would commence within three weeks of the decision being implementable.

**8. ANY OTHER BUSINESS**

Members of Dulwich Community Council passed the following motion:

The Dulwich Community Council congratulate teachers, parents and children who have mounted such a common sense campaign about the ill judged budget proposals from the current administration to remove school crossing patrols in Southwark. DCC also congratulates those council officials who have identified the required funding enabling the cabinet member to keep all lollipop patrols and hopes he makes a statement on the issue at council assembly on 6 July 2011.

The meeting ended at 8.00 pm.

**CHAIR:**

**DATED:**