

Peckham and Nunhead Community Council

Tuesday 15 November 2016

7.00 pm

St Mary's Church and Community Centre, 17 St Mary's Road, London,
SE15 2EA

Supplemental Agenda No.1

List of Contents

Item No.	Title	Page No.
6.	Deputations	
6.1	Deputation request from the Lane ward residents on traffic management issues at community councils – Addendum.	1 - 2
6.2	Deputation request from residents of Bells Gardens Tenants and Residents Association on security door entry for Edwin House.	3 - 5

Item No. 6.1	Classification: Open	Date: 15 November 2016	Meeting Name: Peckham and Nunhead Community Council
Report title:		Deputation Request – Traffic management functions at community councils (addendum)	
Ward(s) or groups affected:		All wards in Peckham and Nunhead	
From:		Proper constitutional officer	

RECOMMENDATION

1. That the Peckham and Nunhead Community Council consider a deputation request from residents of the Lane ward and note further information from the Head of Highways.

BACKGROUND INFORMATION

2. A deputation request has been submitted by some residents living in the Lane ward. The deputation will be presented to the Peckham and Nunhead Community Council meeting on 15 November 2016.
3. The deputation report was despatched with the agenda on 7 November 2016.

SUPPLEMENTARY ADVICE FROM OFFICERS

4. The Head of Highways has given further comments as mentioned below:
 - Southwark Council plans to change the decision-maker for TMOs for **non-strategic schemes** to be the relevant cabinet member, which means that decisions can be made whenever they are needed.
 - Councillors from wards affected by TMOs will be contacted directly before the statutory consultation, and if at least two ward members ask for part or all of a TMO decision to come to community council for consultation, it will be put on hold and brought to the next community council meeting for **consultation**. The community council's comments will then be taken into account by the cabinet member when taking the decision, as well as any comments from members of the public and individual ward members.
 - **There are no changes proposed to the way that strategic traffic and highway schemes** – which are generally those that generate most interest in the community – will be consulted and decided upon.

BACKGROUND DOCUMENTS

Background Papers	Held At	Contact
Received correspondence from the representatives.	160 Tooley Street, London SE1P 5LX	Beverley Olamijulo 020 7525 7234

AUDIT TRAIL

Lead Officer	Chidi Agada, Acting Constitutional Manager	
Report Author	Beverley Olamijulo, Constitutional Officer	
Version	Final	
Dated	31 October 2016	
Key Decision?	No	
CONSULTATION WITH OTHER OFFICERS / DIRECTORATES / CABINET MEMBER		
Officer Title	Comments Sought	Comments included
Director of Law and Democracy	No	No
Strategic Director of Finance and Governance	No	No
Cabinet member	No	No
Date final report sent to the Constitutional Team		10 November 2016

Item No. 6.2	Classification: Open	Date: 15 November 2016	Meeting Name: Peckham and Nunhead Community Council
Report title:		Deputation Request – Security for Edwin House	
Ward(s) or groups affected:		Peckham ward	
From:		Proper constitutional officer	

RECOMMENDATION

1. That the Peckham and Nunhead Community Council receive a deputation from representatives of Bells Gardens Tenants and Residents Association and residents of Edwin House.

BACKGROUND INFORMATION

2. A deputation request has been submitted by representatives of Bells Gardens Tenants and Residents Association on behalf of residents that live at Edwin House. The purpose of their deputation is to start the process for funding in order to have a secure door entry system at Edwin House.
3. The deputation states:

“We would like the council to support and help us secure funding for a secure door entry system at Edwin House. The residents of Edwin House have endured long standing problems with people using the lifts at Edwin House as toilets as well as activities of anti social behaviour e.g. drug dealing, problems with rough sleepers and prostitution. The entrances of the block are used for these purposes. This has created unacceptable conditions for the residents of Edwin House and cleaners responsible for the upkeep of Edwin House. It also means easy access to the block draws people from outside the area to cause trouble in our community.

The solution would be to have a secure entry system so that:

- Edwin will no longer be an easy target for anti social behaviour and
- Residents will have more control and a greater sense of responsibility for people they invite to the block

This solution is supported by residents, cleaners, the police and our local ward councillors.”

4. A deputation can be submitted by a person of any age who lives, works or studies in Southwark. Deputations must relate to matters which the council has powers or duties or which affects Southwark.
5. At the meeting, the spokesperson for the deputation will be invited to speak up to five minutes on the subject matter. The community council will debate the deputation and at the conclusion of the deputation the chair will seek the consent of councillors to debate the subject. Councillors may move motions

and amendments without prior notice if the subject does not relate to a report on the agenda. The meeting can decide to note the deputation or provide support if requested to do so. The community council shall not take any formal decision(s) on the subject raised unless a report is on the agenda.

6. Any relevant resource or community impact issues will be contained in the comments of the strategic director.

KEY ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION

7. The deputation shall consist of no more than six persons, including the spokesperson.
8. Only one member of the deputation shall be allowed to address the meeting, her or his speech being limited to five minutes.
9. Councillors may ask questions of the deputation, which shall be answered by their spokesperson or any member of the deputation nominated by her or him for up to five minutes at the conclusion of the spokesperson's address.
10. If more than one deputation is to be heard in respect of one subject there shall be no debate until each deputation has been presented. The monitoring officer shall, in writing, formally communicate the decision of the meeting to the person who submitted the request for the deputation to be received.

SUPPLEMENTARY ADVICE FROM OFFICERS

Housing and Modernisation department

11. The entry phone programme is now fully prioritised until 2019/20. If there is funding available in 2019/20 then consultation will start on a new programme in 2018. However entry phones are not the sole solution to anti social behaviour (ASB) issues and the tenants and residents association can work with the residents service officer, ASB Team and local police to look at other solutions to help reduce the problem too.

BACKGROUND DOCUMENTS

Background Papers	Held At	Contact
Received correspondence from the representatives.	160 Tooley Street, London SE1P 5LX	Beverley Olamijulo 020 7525 7234

AUDIT TRAIL

Lead Officer	Chidi Agada, Acting Constitutional Manager	
Report Author	Beverley Olamijulo, Constitutional Officer	
Version	Final	
Dated	10 November 2016	
Key Decision?	No	
CONSULTATION WITH OTHER OFFICERS / DIRECTORATES / CABINET MEMBER		
Officer Title	Comments Sought	Comments included
Director of Law and Democracy	No	No
Strategic Director of Housing and Modernisation	Yes	TBC
Strategic Director of Finance and Governance	No	No
Cabinet member	No	No
Date final report sent to the Constitutional Team	10 November 2016	